

Missouri Department of Natural Resources

AGENDA

Missouri Soil and Water Districts Commission Bennett Springs/Roaring River Room 1730 East Elm Street Jefferson City, Missouri January 11, 2012 8:30 AM

A. CALL TO ORDER

B. CLOSED SESSION (8:30 – 9:30 AM) Pursuant to § 610.021(1) to discuss legal, confidential, or privileged matters; §610.021 (17) to discuss audit issues, and personnel actions under §610.021(3).

C. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

- 1. November 28, 2011 Open Session minutes
- 2. November 28, 2011 Closed Session minutes

D. MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES (RECONVENE at 9:30 AM)

- 1. Our Missouri Waters Initiative
- 2. Appointment Soil and Water Conservation Program Director
- 3. Election of Commission Chair and Vice-Chair

E. SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS

- 1. Update on Targeted Watershed Projects (Colleen Meredith)
- 2. WQ10 Stream Protection Training (Katy Holmer)
- 3. Technician II Certified Conservation Planner Training (Jeremia Markway)
- 4. Grazing Certification (Jeremia Markway)
- 5. Harrison Landowner District Drill Rental Program (Colleen Meredith)
- 6. FY 2012 Supplemental Cost-Share Allocation Summary (Alan Freeman)
- 7. FY 2012 Cost-Share/SALT Fund Status (April Brandt)
- 8. FY 2012 Additional Supplemental Cost-Share Allocation (Ken Struemph)
- 9. DWP-1 Grade Control Structure/Drop Pipe Policy for Bootheel Region (April Brandt)
- 10. Timesheet Program Implementation Update (Jim Plassmeyer)
- 11. Accounting Program Implementation Update (Jim Plassmeyer)
- 12. Year End District Financial Report Summary (Jim Boschert)

F. REQUESTS

- 1. Madison SWCD Pond Site Review for Contract Eligibility (Jennifer Pellet)
- 2. Supervisor Appointments Ripley Soil and Water Conservation District (Jennifer Pellet)

- 3. (**Tabled 11/28/11**) Holt SWCD Heck Farms Payment of DWP-1 Structure (Jeremy Redden)
- 4. Chariton SWCD Cover Crop Pilot Practice Policy (Jeremia Markway)
- 5. Chariton SWCD Contract with Associated Electric (Jeremia Markway)

H. REPORTS

- 1. NRCS
 - a. Revised N590 Nutrient Management Standard
- 2. MASWCD
- 3. University of Missouri
- 4. Department of Conservation
- 5. Department of Agriculture

I. PUBLIC COMMENTS

J. SUGGESTED DATE(S) OF NEXT MEETING(S)

March 14, 2012 (DNR Conference Center)

K. ADJOURNMENT

Those wishing to address the commission on any of the above issues need to contact a program staff member, Christa Moody or sign up on the comment card at the commission meeting.

If you have any questions regarding this meeting, special accommodation needs, or would like a copy of any material provided at the commission meeting, please contact Christa Moody at 573-751-1172.

Soil & Water Districts Commission may go into closed session at this meeting if such action is approved by a majority vote of the commission members who constitute a quorum to discuss legal, confidential, or privileged matters under § 610.021(1), RSMo 2000; personnel actions under §610.021(3); personnel records or applications under §610.021(13), records under § 610.021(14), or audit issues under § 610.021(17), which are otherwise protected from disclosure by law.

D1

Jay Nixon, Governor Sara Parker Pauley, Director

News Release 381

Director Pauley announces Our Missouri Waters pilot project, develops coordinated, holistic approach to watershed management

Volume 39-381 (For Immediate Release) For more information: 573-751-1010

SPRINGFIELD, Mo., Nov. 17, 2011 - The Missouri Department of Natural Resources today announced Our Missouri Waters, a new watershed-based approach that will change the way the department conducts water resource management.

Speaking at the Tri-State Water Resource Coalition annual conference in Springfield, department director Sara Parker Pauley announced the initiative that will take a coordinated, holistic approach to watershed management across the state.

"Our Missouri Waters initiative will modernize and streamline the way the department conducts watershed planning to better target our resources and provide a greater environmental benefit to the state of Missouri," said Director Pauley. "This will help the department maintain consistency and provides a framework to measure results and provide accountability."

Stakeholders, partnering agencies and the public will play a critical role throughout the initiative. The department has established a Watershed Advisory Committee that will provide their expertise and insight to the department as it works to develop, implement and evaluate this new approach. Working with these partners, the department will work to improve watershed planning, identify issues within watersheds and utilize tools that are best suited to address those watershed-specific issues.

"I applaud the department for taking this step to break down silos, which will lead to a more efficient, integrated and effective approach to preserving and protecting our precious water resources," Gov. Jay Nixon said.

Citizen participation and cooperation is also crucial for successful watershed management. "Local citizen participation is key to the success of Our Missouri Waters initiative. When citizens better understand the issues within their watershed, they become more invested in the future of their community and together we can develop the most effective solution to benefit the state's water resources for generations to come." added Director Pauley.

The department selected three pilot watersheds to be included in the department's first phase of the Our Missouri Waters initiative. The department evaluated all watersheds throughout the state and selected Spring River watershed, Big River watershed and the Lower Grand River watershed due to their diversity and opportunities. When selecting the three pilot watersheds, the department examined issues such as water quality, water quantity, high-quality waters for preservation and local stakeholder interest.

News Release 381 Page 2 of 2

The department will begin implementing the pilot projects in early 2012 and will continue the planning process into 2013. These pilots will allow the department to analyze how well this watershed-based approach works and to make adjustments before implementing a statewide effort expected to be launched in 2013.

For more information, visit the department's website at <u>dnr.mo.gov/omwi.htm</u> or contact the Department of Natural Resources toll free at 800-334-6946 (voice) or 800-379-2419 (Telecommunications Device for the Deaf).

###

Moody, Christa

Dá

From:

Pauley, Sara

Sent:

Friday, December 16, 2011 11:20 AM

To: Subject:

DNR.All Department Staff Staffing announcements

I am pleased to announce some very positive changes for the Department related to the Soil and Water Conservation Program and Water Resources Center.

Bryan Hopkins has successfully led the Soil and Water Conservation Program for the last 2 ½ years. Under his leadership, we have significantly improved the program's focus, accountability and stewardship of sales tax funding during a challenging budget climate. However, as many of you know, Bryan's passion has long been for rivers and watershed issues. This interest led to my asking Bryan to coordinate the Departments response to this year's flooding events.

During this time frame I asked Colleen Meredith to serve as interim director of the Soil and Water Conservation Program, where she has clearly displayed her leadership skills in this new position and continued the program's movement forward.

In reflection of Bryan's interest in river and watershed issues, I have asked him to continue his efforts, by formally joining the Water Resources Center team. I have also asked Colleen to continue her role as Program Director of the Soil and Water Program in a permanent capacity, where she will no doubt see that the program remains the successful national model it has grown to represent.

These position changes will be effective immediately and I encourage you to support and welcome these two in their new roles. I look forward to their continuation of an excellent record of supporting the Department's mission.

Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor · Sara Parker Pauley, Director

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

MEMORANDUM

2012-008

DATE:

September 28, 2011

TO:

All Soil and Water Conservation District Staff

FROM: Ken Struemph, District Operations Section

Soil and Water Conservation Program

Regional WO10 Stream Protection Policy Training SUBJECT:

In May, the Soil and Water Districts Commission approved revisions to the Stream Protection (WO10) policy. Program staff have worked with districts across the state to host regional trainings that include site visits to completed and approved practice locations. This training is designed to assist district and NRCS staff with interpreting the new policy that will take effect for FY13 contracts. At this time, the following locations and times listed below are available for WQ10 training. Attached you will find additional information specific to the training location, the agenda, and a registration form. Please complete and return the attached registration form with the names of those attending by email, fax or mail, to Lori Bax at least one week prior to the scheduled training. Once the program office receives your registration, you will receive a confirmation through email. If you have any additional questions, please contact your district coordinator, Alan Freeman or Leon Thompson.

Training Date	Start time	Location	Register by:
October 12, 2011	9:30 am	Neosho, McDonald Co	October 3, 2011
October 13, 2011	10:00 am	Keytesville, Chariton Co	October 7, 2011
October 18, 2011	9:30 am	Marble Hill, Bollinger Co	October 12, 2011
October 19, 2011	10:00 am	Hartville, Wright Co	October 12, 2011
October 25, 2011	10:00 am	Linn, Osage Co	October 18, 2011
November 2, 2011	9:30 am	Buffalo, Dallas Co	October 18, 2011
TBA .		Lancaster, Schuyler Co	TBA

An additional training in north Missouri is in the process of being scheduled. Once the training has been scheduled, a follow up email will be sent with the date, location, and time.

KS:afd

Attachments

WQ10 Policy Training (Chariton, Wright, Osage) AGENDA Fall 2011

10:00 am	Introductions WQ10 Stream Protection Policy
12:00 pm	Break for lunch (provided)
1:00 pm	Travel to field site Discuss site Q & A's
3:00 pm	Return to meeting site

Training wrap-up

Final questions

3:30 – 3:45 pm Adjourn

Stream Protection (WQ10) Policy Training Registration

Please complete the following information to register for the WQ10 training. Should weather impede the training for the assigned day, please leave a phone number that you can be easily reached at for notification.

District:		·					
Street address:							
City:		Zip Code:	Zip Code:				
	Name		Phone Number	Dietary or other needs			
Staff Attending:							
			·				
<u> </u>							
	-						
							

Please select the training that you would like to attend. Certain locations may fill up quickly, so if more than one location would work for you, please indicate your first and second choice. Once registered, you will receive an email confirmation for the training.

(X)	Training Date	Location
	October 12, 2011	Neosho, Newton/McDonald County
	October 13, 2011	Keytesville, Chariton County
	October 18, 2011	Marble Hill, Bollinger County
	October 19, 2011	Hartville, Wright County
:	October 25, 2011	Linn, Osage County
	November 2, 2011	Buffalo, Dallas County
	TBD *	Lancaster, Schuyler County

^{*} If you are interested in attending training in Schuyler County, please indicate on the form. Once the date is set, we will send you an email to see if you will still be able to attend.

Please send this form to:

Mail: Lori Bax

Soil and Water Conservation Program Missouri Department of Natural Resources

PO Box 176

Jefferson City, MO 65102

Fax: 573-526-3508

Email: lori.bax@dnr.mo.gov

WQ10 Policy Training (McDonald, Bollinger, Dallas) AGENDA Fall 2011

9:30 am Introductions

WQ10 Stream Protection Policy

11:30 am Break for lunch (provided)

12:30 pm Travel to field site

Discuss site Q & A's

2:30 pm Return to meeting site

Training wrap-up Final questions

3:00 – 3:15 pm Adjourn

WQ10 Stream Protection

Purpose

Reduce excess amounts of sediment, organic material, nutrients, and pesticides in surface runoff; and reduce excess nutrients and other chemicals in shallow groundwater flow, with a secondary benefit of stream bank stabilization.

Applicability

Applies to areas immediately adjacent to permanent, losing, or intermittent streams that have a defined stream bed where livestock have uncontrolled access for watering purposes.

Erosion Requirements

This practice has no erosion requirements.

Specifications

The completed practice must meet the NRCS Standards and Specifications for Critical Area Planting (342), Pond (378), Fence (382), Access Control (472), Pipeline (516), Stream Crossing (578), Watering Facility (614), and Water Well (642) contained in the Field Office Technical Guide.


The Soil and Water Conservation Program strongly encourages the construction of High-Tensile Electric (HTE) exclusionary fence due to the flood prone nature of this practice's applicable location(s).

Policies

- 1. The area where the practice will be applied must currently have evidence of livestock presence to qualify.
- 2. The area excluded must have an adequate boundary fence or natural barrier preventing livestock from accessing the stream prior to installing exclusion fence and must be noted in MOSWIMS.
- 3. A one time, out of production incentive for livestock exclusion is available for landowners who allow the riparian area to regenerate naturally or maintain it as a conservation buffer. Buffer acres are measured from the high-flow bank of the qualifying stream to the completed fence using the measurement criteria in 3a and 3b of this section.
 - a. Excluded area on each side of the qualifying stream must be a minimum of 25 feet. The incentive may be paid up to a maximum of a 150 foot average from the high bank. Incentive is available for both sides of the qualifying streams.
 - b. The maximum area on which the incentive may be paid cannot exceed a 150 foot average, with 180 feet as the maximum allowed in the averaging calculation (See Figure 1).

V-96 01/01/2012

Figure 1



- 4. Both sides of the qualifying stream must be excluded from livestock access.
- 5. The excluded area may be flash grazed beginning one year after contract payment. Livestock access to the excluded area outside of the approved dates is a violation of the maintenance agreement, except for designated stream crossing points.
- 6. Grazing should not occur under wet weather conditions to prevent manure from being carried to the streams by runoff or floodwaters.
 - a. Cool-season grasses (CSG) may be grazed for a single three (3) day period between April 15th and May 7th, and again for a single three (3) day period between September 1st and October 1st. The average minimum grazing height is six (6) inches for CSG. Strip grazing of the excluded area using temporary fence may be utilized during the above spring and fall periods as long as livestock graze each strip only one time for 3 or fewer days, and maintain a 6 inch average minimum grazing height.
 - b. Warm-season grasses (WSG) may be grazed for a single three (3) day period between July 15th and August 15th. The average minimum grazing height is eight (8) inches for WSG. Strip grazing as indicated above may also be carried out for WSG.
 - c. Grazing dates may be restricted further due to establishment of a planted buffer. Consideration should be given to wildlife when flash grazing is practiced.
 - d. Livestock stocking rates should be reevaluated based on the grazing area that remains after exclusion of the riparian area. The upland acres remaining will be under greater

V-97 01/01/2012

grazing pressure. Reducing livestock numbers, and/or implementation of a grazing system is recommended to prevent upland erosion.

- 7. Spraying is allowed to control noxious weeds: along fence lines for maintenance purposes and for suppression of fescue and/or weeds in a WSG or tree/shrub planting for erosion control or wildlife benefit. Prescribed burning of WSG stands is an allowed maintenance practice. Chemicals utilized for maintenance are not eligible for cost-share.
- 8. Mowing within the buffer incentive area is prohibited except for fence maintenance and should be limited to a single swath along the fence.

9. Cost-share is authorized for:

a. Water Source

Development of a watering source(s) for livestock when the qualifying stream is fenced to exclude livestock. More than one watering source may be developed on farms where pipeline and trenching costs would exceed \$3,500; or when crossing roads or streams with pipeline is not recommended. Development of watering sources may not exceed \$3,500 each for a pond or a well. Designated stream crossings for livestock are authorized. All water supplies should be a minimum of 25 feet from the exclusion fence and a minimum of 100 feet from the high bank of the qualifying stream.

- 1) Pond(s). This may include placement of a water line through an impoundment dam of an existing pond or reconstruction of ponds that no longer provide adequate livestock water.
- 2) Well(s). The following are procedures and guidelines that must be followed if a new or existing well is to be used for livestock watering purposes:
 - a) The cost of components allowed for the upgrade and use of an existing well or construction of each new well is limited to \$3,500, which include(s) the well drilling, casing, grout, liner, electrical lines from the pump control box to the pump, or upgrading the pump, and miscellaneous well components. Miscellaneous well components include the well cap, drive shoe, inverted bell, cement, fittings, and seals for the well itself.
 - b) All wells must be constructed or upgraded and registered according to state requirements. Any certification fees are not eligible for cost-share.


b. Permanent Water Distribution

- 1) Standard cost-share reimbursement applies for the pipeline from existing/new well(s) and ponds to the tank(s).
- 2) Tanks are limited to one per field adjacent to the qualifying stream excluded by the WQ10 practice.
- c. Limited access. If deemed the least cost alternative, a limited access area may be authorized to allow controlled access to a qualifying stream or pond.
- d. Stream crossing(s).
- e. Exclusion fence.

V-98 01/01/2012


- f. Critical Area Seeding. Permanent vegetative cover based on the Critical Area Seeding component.
- g. Buffer Exclusion Out of Production
 - 1) Grazable grassland areas adjacent to the qualifying stream and within the buffer area are eligible for the out of production incentive (See Figure 2).
 - 2) Grassland fields and a narrowly wooded riparian corridor adjacent to the excluded qualifying stream are eligible for the incentive (See Figure 3).
 - 3) Incentives are not authorized for stream segments within heavily wooded areas that exceed ten acres (See Figure 4). Heavily wooded areas that exceed ten acres should be evaluated for a use exclusion practice, such as the DFR-05 Woodland Protection through Livestock Exclusion or N472 Use Exclusion.
 - 4) Incentives CANNOT be used in conjunction with other incentive practices for the same fenced area. The least-cost practice ONLY should be utilized. (i.e. N472 fencing of an area that includes a stream may not receive the WQ10 out of production incentive *and* the N472 livestock exclusion incentive, or vice-versa.)

Figure 2




V-99 01/01/2012

Figure 3



V-100 01/01/2012

Figure 4



10. Cost-share is not authorized for:

- a. Spring Development. Cost-share is authorized under the N574 Spring Development practice.
- b. Exclusion costs for fields dedicated to the harvest of crops or forage production.
- c. Reconstruction: The Soil and Water Conservation Program will not pay for the rebuilding of fence. High Tensile Electric (HTE) is recommended to lower the landowner's costs if a rebuild is necessary.

Maximum State Cost-Share

- 1. Assistance for components is limited to 75% of the county average cost, not to exceed the state average cost. Each watering source is limited to \$3,500.00.
- 2. The out of production incentive cannot exceed \$500.00 per acre of buffer exclusion, as described in 9.g.

Map Requirements

- 1. A CMT Map Number must be entered in MoSWIMS prior to contract approval. The map must show the following information that pertains to the contract:
 - Farm Perimeter
 - Location of Power Source
 - Area Treated with Practice
 - Any other feature that may affect the completed practice.

- a. Planned items must be shown and labeled with the fiscal year to be installed.
 - Planned Fence
 - Planned Pipeline
 - Planned Water Source
 - Planned Watering Facility (Specify Tank or Hydrant)
- b. Existing items must be labeled with the fiscal year installed and funding source (EQIP, SWCP, etc.).
 - Existing Fence, including existing perimeter and cross fences
 - Existing Pipeline
 - Existing Water Source
 - Existing Watering Facility (Specify Tank or Hydrant)
- 2. A map that displays the completed practice must be scanned and attached as a "Map Document" in MoSWIMS prior to contract payment submission.

Technical Responsibilities

Technical staff has the responsibility for determining the need for the practice, for the design of the practice based upon the minimum extent necessary, and to certify that the completed practice meets NRCS standards and specifications within commission policy. A certified Technician II can design and certify this practice; however, if a pond is installed, the practice must be certified and contract signed by NRCS staff.

Acres Served

Acreage on which the incentive was paid.

Extent Installed

Linear Feet of the stream excluded.

Maintenance Life

10 years.

V-102 01/01/2012

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

MEMORANDUM

2012-010

DATE:

November 1, 2011

TO:

All Soil and Water Conservation Districts

KEH

FROM:

Katy Holmer, District Operations Section

Soil and Water Conservation Program

SUBJECT: District Technician II Continuing Education

The Soil and Water Conservation Program (SWCP) recognizes and appreciates the efforts of the District Technician IIs in assisting landowners in implementing conservation practices. Without them, the practices that do so much to protect and conserve our soil and water resources would not be possible. Partner efforts with the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) indicate that additional training would enhance the work that is currently being done.

The SWCP is working with NRCS to develop professional development opportunities for District Technician IIs to attend Certified Conservation Planner training and attain certification as a Certified Conservation Planner. Over the next 24 months, a number of these trainings will be held. Details of scheduled training opportunities will be communicated as they are developed. Any current District Technician II that has not completed the Certified Conservation Planner training and submitted conservation plans should do so within the next 24 months. Certification as a Certified Conservation Planner will be required as continuing education for all District Technician IIs that have not already attained it. Those that have completed the training must be in good standing and keep their certification current.

Beginning January 1, 2012, technicians that pass the District Technician II test must also complete the NRCS Certified Conservation Planner training and submit conservation plans according to NRCS requirements to become fully certified as a District Technician II. Therefore, the District Technician II test that will be administered November 29, 2011 at the Missouri Soil and Water Conference at Tan-Tar-A Resort, Osage Beach, will be the last testing opportunity available in which a technician will be allowed to become certified as a Technician II solely by passing the Technician II Test.

If you have questions regarding the Certified Conservation Planner training or the District Technician II test, please contact Jeremia Markway at the Soil and Water Conservation Program, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176, or by phone at 573-522-3159.

KH:jmd



Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor . Sara Parker Pauley, Director

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

MEMORANDUM

2012-017

DATE:

December 27, 2011

TO:

All Soil and Water Conservation Districts

FROM:

Ken Struemph, District Operations Section

Soil and Water Conservation Program

SUBJECT:

Grazing Management Certification Testing

The program is continuing to provide opportunities for professional development, which allow district staff more opportunities and responsibilities in the delivery of the state cost-share program. This effort will assist with maintaining outstanding service to Missouri landowners. One of the many professional development opportunities that will be offered is Grazing Management Certification. Achieving this certification acknowledges that staff possesses the skill set to properly plan and design grazing systems. This certification allows district staff to certify DSP 3.1 (Grazing System Water Development –Wells Only), DSP 3.2 (Grazing System Water Distribution), DSP 3.3 (Grazing System Fence), DSP 3.4 (Grazing System Lime), and DSP 3.5 (Grazing System Seed).

Lincoln University has been contracted to develop certification criteria. In order to fully test the aptitude for grazing certification, Lincoln University has developed a four-part test. The test will be composed of the following:

- A written test to illustrate understanding of grazing principles.
- A plan and design for a stock water pipeline delivery system. The NRCS Missouri Pipeline Design spreadsheet will be provided for the exercise.
- An in-field exercise where participants will determine a landowner's needs, desires, and available resources. Participants will use the knowledge acquired from the in-field exercise to design a workable grazing system for the landowner.
- A forage and animal balance exercise for development of the grazing plan. The NRCS
 Graze4 spreadsheet will be available for this exercise. Other suitable tools may be used
 to complete the pipeline design and forage and animal exercises.

This certification will be available to all district staff who have passed the District Technician II baseline test and work for a district that has spent funds in the Grazing



All SWCDs December 27, 2011 Page two

Management Resource Concern within the last year. Compensation associated with the Grazing Management certification has yet to be determined. For this initial training, the Board of Supervisors may only request one eligible employee (already certified as a Technician II) attend. Space will be available for a maximum of 10 technicians for this first test. In the event that more than 10 technicians apply to take the test, priority will be given to those technicians from districts that have shown the greatest need for grazing management through the Needs Assessment and through completion of grazing contracts.

The first scheduled date for the grazing management certification is January 18 and 19, 2012. Testing will be conducted at Lincoln University's Carver Farm. Participants will need a pencil, pen, calculator, and notepad to take the test. Please bring appropriate clothing and footwear for the in-field exercise. These will be closed book tests, so staff will not be allowed to bring any reference material to take the test.

The approximate schedule for the test is as follows:

Day 1

12:00-2:30 Written examination

2:30 - 3:00 Break

3:00 – 5:00 Pipeline design exercise

Day 2

8:00 – 11:00 Field exercise at farm

11:00 - 12:00 Lunch (on your own)

12:00 – 4:00 Prepare and submit grazing management plans

The employee or the district will be responsible for making the hotel reservations. Lunch will not be provided during the testing, but there will be an hour break for lunch to allow the attendees to go out for lunch. The program office will reimburse the district for the employee's expenses to attend the session, which includes all meals, and mileage. The hotel cost for the evening of the first day of testing will be reimbursed for those staff that the board feels are not within a reasonable travel distance. The district will need to incur the expenses in local funds and submit the documentation with the next quarterly report to receive reimbursement. For the hotel cost, the district will be reimbursed up to \$79.00, which is the state government rate that the program pays for lodging in Cole County. Some of the local hotels in Jefferson City are:

Truman Hotel 573-635-7171, Super 8 573-636-5456, Holiday Inn Express 573-634-4040, Days Inn 573-761-3600, and Best Western 573-635-4175. Please make your room reservations early, as during this time of year, the hotels book quickly in Jefferson City.

All SWCDs December 27, 2011 Page three

Please contact Lori Bax at lori.bax@dnr.mo.gov to register for the testing so that Lincoln University will know the number of technicians planning to attend for preparation purposes. Please send the technician's name and county. Your county will be notified of the acceptance/non-acceptance of the registration.

If you have any questions, please contact Jeremia Markway, Soil and Water Conservation Program, PO Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102-01765, by email at jeremia.markway@dnr.mo.gov or by phone at 573-522-3159.

KS:jmd

Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor • Sara Parker Pauley, Director

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

November 10, 2011

Mr. Jerry Collins 15123 W. 270th St. Bethany, MO 64424

Dear Mr. Collins:

The Soil and Water Conservation Program office received your letter on November 1, 2011, addressing your concern with the drill rental program in the Harrison Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD). A copy of your letter has been forwarded to the Harrison SWCD board of supervisors for their consideration at the county level. This issue may be resolved at the local level to your satisfaction; however, your letter will be provided to the commission for consideration.

The Soil and Water District Commission meeting will be held November 28, 2011, at Tan-Tar-A Resort, Osage Beach. Missouri. The meeting agenda is enclosed for your information.

If you have questions, please contact me at the Soil and Water Conservation Program, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176, or by phone at 573-751-4932. Thank you.

Sincerely,

SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Colleen Meredith

Acting Program Director

Collien Meredith

CM:jpd

Enclosure

c: Harrison SWCD

Soil & Water Commission P. O. Box 176 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Commission Members:

My name is Jerry Collins and I am a farmer currently living in the Harrison County Soil & Water Conservation District northwest of Bethany, Missouri. My concern that I bring to you is the fact that I recently purchased two no-till native grass drills to be used as rental units and/or custom drilling units. It was my desire to be on the vendor list in the Harrison County District as we have a pilot program in the Harrison district that is a Biomass program. This program requires the seeding of native grasses on a large number of acres in the county as well as over a 150 CRP seedings to be completed in the fall of 2011 and spring of 2012. That was my basis for purchasing the drills.

Recently, I asked my wife to call into the district office and request to be placed on the vendor list so I would have the opportunity to put the drills into use for either one or both of these programs. When she called in, she talked to the district employee, and presented our request to be placed on the vendor list. The series reply was "we really don't have a vendor list, and besides, you would be competing with us because we have a no-till drill to rent". My wife was somewhat bewildered at this reply, but did say that she would mention it to the board, to see what they had to say. That was the end of their conversation. When my wife brought this to my attention as to the comment by the district employee, I was very frustrated because at one time, I was an NRCS employee for 25 years in Iowa and I was pretty sure that districts in Missouri, as well, had vendor lists that they presented to clients who asked for names of someone to do work for them. So, the more I thought about this, I considered this to be an inappropriate response by the district employee because if I remembered correctly, districts in Missouri were authorized to own and rent equipment as a service to clients when there were no other particular pieces of equipment in the district to accomplish the conservation goal.

My question to you is, am I wrong to be frustrated for the apparent refusal to be added to a vendor list because I would be competing with the district. It is my opinion since I have drills and I know of at least one or two other drills in the Harrison district, that the district is actually competing with us and it is a very unfair advantage since all of us who are clients doing seedings have to go to their office for seeding plans and approval for the seedings that are completed. This does not seem like it is appropriate since Missouri Soil and Water Conservation Districts are primarily funded by public dollars. I would request if it is policy in the State of Missouri for districts to compete against private land owners and contractors with their equipment, the policy be re-evaluated by the commission. If it isn't Missouri policy, I would request districts be notified that they should be promoting

their equipment as a last resort when private individuals and contractors are either unavailable or are no longer in business to complete the task at hand.

It would seem in this day and age, when CRP seedings, cost share seedings, cost share inter-seedings, and now Bio-mass seedings are common place in all or at least many districts, that soil and water districts should be disposing of their equipment and leaving the business to farmers and contractors who are willing to provide that service.

I thank you for your attention and will be anxiously awaiting your reply to my concern.

Sincerely,

Jerry Collins

15123 W. 270th St.

Bethany, MO 64424

COUNTY OF THE STATE OF

Will Both

October 21, 2011

Board of Supervisors «County» Soil and Water Conservation District «ADDRESS» «CITY», MO «ZIP»

Dear Supervisors:

During a conference call on September 9, 2011, the Soil and Water Districts Commission approved the supplemental allocation of \$5 million for fiscal year (FY) 2012. The supplemental allocation will bring the total regular cost-share allocation to \$27 million for FY12. Districts will receive an additional allocation for each resource concern, provided the obligated and pending contracts exceeded 70 percent of the original allocation for that resource concern as of close of business on Friday. October 14, 2012.

All districts meeting the 70 percent threshold in a resource concern received at least the resource minimum established for the completion of one practice. A supplemental resource maximum allocation was used to ensure that funding would be available to more districts, so districts can move forward toward the goals defined by their local needs assessment. The following table provides the resource minimum and maximum allocations made.

MID-YEAR SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOCATION

Resource Concern	Resource	Minimum	Resource	Maximum	State Average Cost per practice + 25%		
Animal Waste	\$	25,000.00	S	50,000.00	\$	25,000.00	
Grazing Management	S	10,000.00	S	24,000.00	\$	3,000.00	
Irrigation Management	S	10.000.00	S	24,000.00	S	6,000.00	
Sensitive Areas	S	10,000.00	S	24.000.00	S	5,000.00	
Sheet, Rill, & Gully	S	10,000.00	S	38,000.00	S	6,000.00	
Woodland Erosion	S	10.000.00	S	20,000.00	S	4,000.00	

Ninety- nine soil and water conservation districts qualified for the mid-year supplemental allocation. With the dedication and work of your staff, your district was positioned to receive a supplemental allocation. The following table shows the resource concerns in which your district received additional funding.

«County» County Soil and Water Conservation District											
Resource Concern	% Obligated + Pending	Mid Year Supplemental Allocation									
Animal Waste	«AWM » %	«Animal_Waste»									
Grazing Management	«GM » %	«Grazing Management»									
Irrigation Management	«lM_» %	«Irrigation Management»									
Sensitive Areas	«SA » %	«Sensitive_Areas»									
Sheet, Rill, & Gully	«SRG_» %	«Sheet_Rill_Gully»									
Woodland Erosion	«WE » %	«Woodland Erosion»									
	Total: «FY12 Supplemental Allocation										

With the supplemental allocation, the total allocated cost-share dollars exceeds our appropriation authority. The program will utilize MoSWIMS to monitor the total cost-share obligations and provide the districts updates on the total obligations periodically. Once a threshold of \$20 million obligated is reached, the program will post the total state obligations on the header of the MoSWIMS Program weekly. Much like last year, MoSWIMS will be used to shut down the ability to approve new contracts to stay within our appropriation spending authority of \$24 Million for cost share. If obligations are tracking towards exceeding the \$24 million appropriation authority, the commission has asked the Department to request additional spending authority by utilizing some of the unspent cross year mitigation funds.

The program office would like to commend every district for the efforts they put forth to meet this goal. It demonstrates the dedication that staff and board members have in supporting Missouri agriculture through the implementation of soil and water conservation practices. Program staff have entered the supplemental allocation into MoSWIMS. If you have any questions, please contact your district coordinator. Thank you.

Sincerely,

SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Ken Struemph District Operations Section

KS/CLM:dc

October 21, 2011

Board of Supervisors County Soil and Water Conservation District Address City, MO Zip Code

Dear Supervisors:

During a conference call on September 9, 2011, the Soil and Water Districts Commission approved the supplemental allocation of \$5 million for fiscal year (FY) 2012. The supplemental allocation will bring the total regular cost-share allocation to \$27 million for FY12. Districts will receive an additional allocation for each resource concern, provided the obligated and pending contracts exceeded 70 percent of the original allocation for that resource concern as of close of business on Friday, October 14, 2012.

We understand that several factors can affect the ability to deliver cost-share such as: wet weather, input costs, and fluctuations in landowner participation. While your district did not meet the criteria to receive additional funds through the Mid Year Supplemental Allocation, we hope that you will consider this process next year and that you are able to participate in this opportunity in the future.

The following table shows the Resource Concerns and the percent of FY12 Original Allocation funds obligated and/or pending for your district as of October 14, 2011.

County County Soil and Water Conservation District									
Resource Concern	FY2012 Original Allocation	% Obligated + Pending							
Animal Waste	\$0.00	0.00 %							
Grazing Management	\$20,160.00	31.99 %							
Irrigation Management	\$0.00	0.00 %							
Sensitive Areas	\$16,380.00	63.42 %							
Sheet, Rill, & Gully	\$359,730.00	49.19 %							
Woodland Erosion	\$1,150.00	0.00 %							

County SWCD October 21, 2011 Page 2

With the supplemental allocation, the total allocated cost-share dollars exceeds our appropriation authority. The program will utilize MoSWIMS to monitor the total cost-share obligations and provide the districts updates on the total obligations periodically. Once a threshold of S20 million obligated is reached, the program will post the total state obligations on the header of the MoSWIMS Program weekly. Much like last year, MoSWIMS will shut down the ability to approve new contracts to stay within our appropriation spending authority of S24 Million for cost share. If obligations are tracking towards exceeding the S24 million appropriation authority, the commission has asked the Department to request additional spending authority by utilizing some of the unspent cross year mitigation funds.

The program office would like to encourage you to continue to work towards meeting the needs of your landowners and offer our support in helping you achieve your district's goals in soil and water conservation. If you have any questions, please contact your district coordinator. Thank you.

Sincerely,

SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Ken Struemph District Operations Section

KS/CLM:dc

FY12 TOTAL Supplemental Allocation

						- 41/2/2-	Cincital Air					· ·	
County	FY12 Supplemental Allocation	AWM %	Animal Waste	GM %	Grazing Management	IM %	Irrigation Management	SA %	Sensitive Areas	SRG %	Sheet, Rill & Gully	WE %	Woodland Erosion
State Totals	5.079.000.00		200,000.00		913.000.00		214,000.00		672,000.00		2,543,000.00		537,000.00
Adair	38,000.00	0.00	-	0.00		0.00	-	50.00	-	75.13	38,000.00	0.00	-
Andrew	38,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	82.18	38,000.00	0.00	-
Atchison	38,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	89.12	38,000.00	0.00	-
Audrain	48,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00	-	100.00	10,000.00	70.90	38,000.00	0.00	-
Barry	25,000.00	100.00	25.000.00	43.66	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	65.38		43.70	-
Barton	48,000.00	0.00	-	. 0.00		74.90	10,000.00	0.00		112.26	38,000.00	0.00	
Bates	62,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00		89.46	24,000.00	100.04	38,000.00	0.00	
Benton	60,000.00	0.00		137.51	24,000.00	0.00	-	120.63	22,000.00	117.78	14,000.00	0.00	-
Bollinger	44,000.00	0.00	-	0.00		100.00	24,000.00	6.72	-	36.56		72.83	20,000.00
Boone	62,000.00	0.00	-	91.69	24,000.00	0.00	-	6.72	-	86.65	38,000.00	0.00	-
Buchanan	38,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00	-	34.91	-	99.22	38,000.00	0.00	-
Butler	72,000.00	0.00	-	28.15		98.69	24,000.00	0.00	-	122.39	38,000.00	185.96	10,000.00
Caldwell	-	0.00		31.99		0.00		63.42	-	49.19	-	0.00	-
Callaway	38,000.00	0.00		7.61		0.00	-	0.00	-	72.62	38,000.00	0.00	-
Camden	-	0.00		0.00		0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-
Cape Girardeau		0.00		0.00		66.13	-	0.00		26.74	-	0.00	-
Carroll	13,000.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	-	94.53	13,000.00	55.22	-	0.00	•
Carter	24,000.00	0.00	•	79.95	24,000.00	0.00	-	30.50		46.48	-	0.00	
Cass	52,000.00	0.00		111.62	24,000.00	0.00	-	121.71	18,000.00	35.21	-	268.39	10,000.00
Cedar	34,000.00	0.00		126.69	24,000.00	0.00	-	65.57		97.59	10,000.00	33.37	-
Chariton	10,000.00	0.00	-	32.84	-	0.00	-	137.25	10,000.00	18.57	-	0.00	-
Christian	68,000.00	0.00		99.99	24,000.00	0.00	-	97.29	24,000.00	55.62	-	87.95	20,000.00
Clark	38,000.00	0.00	-	0.00		0.00	-	12.50		92.77	38,000.00	0.00	-
Clay	38,000.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00		122.37	38,000.00	0.00	•
Clinton	38,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	93.82	38,000.00	0.00	
Cole	82,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00	•	90.19	24,000.00	80.94	38,000.00	91.49	20,000.00
Cooper	-	0.00	-	34.78		0.00	•	0.00	-	11,28	-	0.00	
Crawford	44,000.00	0.00		78.25	24,000.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	61.37	-	135.50	20,000.00
Dade	82,000.00	0.00	-	102.95	24,000.00	0.00	•	88.04	10,000.00	111.07	38,000.00	100.00	10,000.00
Dallas	58,000.00	0.00		95.11	24,000.00	0.00	-	89.17	24,000.00	56.30	-	247.56	10,000.00
Daviess	38,000.00	0.00	-	15.78	-	0.00	•	7.26		80.97	38,000.00	0.00	-
Dekalb	62,000.00	0.00	-	75.94	24,000.00	0.00	-	3.92		76.13	38,000.00	0.00	
Dent	80,000.00	0.00	-	62.28		0.00	-	85.84	24,000.00	79.25	38,000.00	100.00	18,000.00
Douglas	68,000.00	0.00	-	179.97	24,000.00	0.00		127.42	24,000.00	66.75	-	128.48	20,000.00
Dunklin	38,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	57.65	-	0.00		212.37	38,000.00	0.00	-
Franklin	24,000.00	0.00		105.14	24,000.00	0.00		52.82		55.88		0.00	-
Gasconade	20,000.00	0.00	-	66.17	-	0.00		62.33		0.00	-	9 3 .93	20,000.00
Gentry	38,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00		28.65	-	70.99	38,000.00	0.00	

FY12 TOTAL Supplemental Allocation

						1 . 1							
County	FY12 Supplemental Allocation	AWM %	Animal Waste	GM %	Grazing Management	IM %	Irrigation Management	SA %	Sensitive Areas	SRG %	Sheet, Rill & Gully	WE %	Woodland Erosion
Greene	60.000.00	0.00		117.66	24,000.00	0.00		84.00	24,000.00	63.31		99.01	12,000.00
Grundy	38,000.00	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		71.92	38,000.00	0.00	12,000.00
Harrison	38,000.00	0.00		53.80		0.00		0.00		78.63	38,000.00	0.00	
Henry	62,000.00	0.00		89.95	24.000.00	0.00		0.00		81.46	38,000.00	0.00	
Hickory	90,000.00	0.00		78.02	24,000.00	0.00		234.94	24,000.00	113.96	32,000.00	233.81	10,000.00
Holt	38,000.00	0.00	-	0.00		0.00	-	0.00		89.35	38,000.00	0.00	10,000,010
Howard		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		42.36	-	0.00	
Howell	44,000.00	0.00		75.04	24,000.00	0.00		0.00		22.06		162.03	20,000.00
Iron		0.00		0.00	- ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.00	-	0.00	· .	53.04		0.00	
Jackson	38,000.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	· .	93.22	38,000.00	0.00	
Jasper	82,000.00	0.00		229.63	24,000.00	0.00		0.00		114.50	38,000.00	121.86	20,000.00
Jefferson		0.00		10.30		0.00	-	0.00		10.22	-	23.80	
Johnson	38,000.00	0.00	-	0.00	•	0.00		0.00		73.36	38,000.00	27.80	
Knox	38,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00		0.00	-	90.95	38,000.00	0.00	.
Laclede	44,000.00	0.00	-	84.35	24,000.00	0.00		80.14	10,000.00	68.71		88.14	10,000.00
Lafayette	38,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00		0.00		75.61	38,000.00	0.00	-
Lawrence	24,000.00	0.00	-	91.54	24,000,00	0.00		0.00		26.55	-	0.00	
Lewis	38,000.00	0.00	-	0.00		0.00		0.00		124.68	38,000.00	0.00	
Lincoln	38,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00		0.00	-	70.78	38.000.00	0.00	
Linn		0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00		0.00		39.94	-	0.00	
Livingston	55,000.00	0.00	-	0.00		102.85	17,000.00	0.00		71.18	38,000.00	0.00	
Macon	48,000.00	0.00		69.22		0.00		110.00		99.90	38,000.00	0.00	-
Madison	38,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00		0.00		85.07	38,000.00	40.08	-
Maries	106,000.00	0.00		91.49	24,000.00	0.00		76.58	24,000.00	100.00	38,000.00	100.00	20,000.00
Marion	38,000.00	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		92.96	38,000.00	0.00	-
McDonald	65,000.00	218.01	25,000.00	66.99		0.00		142.51	24,000,00	42.39		100.00	16,000.00
Mercer	48,000.00	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	-	91.61	38,000.00	99.83	10,000.00
Miller	24,000.00	25.00		89.97	24,000.00	0.00	· ·	22.07		0.00		38.59	-
Mississippi	38,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	55.06		0.00		268.63	38,000.00	0.00	
Moniteau	82,000.00	100.00	25,000.00	9.42		0.00	-	85.78	19,000.00	74.04	38,000.00	0.00	
Monroe	38,000.00	0.00	-	62.13	-	0.00		0.00		110.32	38,000.00	0.00	
Montgomery	38,000.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	-	3.92	-	140.52	38,000.00	0.00	
Morgan	58,000.00	0.00	,	448.47	24,000.00	0.00	-	441.09	<u> </u>	55.81	-	75.89	10,000.00
New Madrid	62,000.00	0.00	-	0.00		70.04	24,000.00	0.00		75.05	38,000.00	0.00	-
Newton	83,000.00	97.66	25,000.00	99.33	24,000.00	0.00		100.00		15.18		100.00	10,000.00
Nodaway	38,000.00	0.00	-	0.00		0.00		0.00		88.38	38,000.00	0.00	,
Oregon	68,000.00	0.00	-	90.09	24,000.00	0.00		75.62		95.12		70.12	20,000.00
Osage	106,000.00	0.00		81.02	24,000.00	0.00		98.36		89.74	38,000.00	98.19	20,000.00

FY12 TOTAL Supplemental Allocation

						- ~ PP	ementar An	-					
County	FY12 Supplemental Allocation	AWM %	Animal Waste	GM %	Grazing Management	I M %	Irrigation Management	SA %	Sensitive Areas	SRG %	Sheet, Rill & Gully	WE %	Woodland Erosion
Ozark	89,000.00	0.00		92.05	24,000.00	0.00		87.94	24,000.00	102.20	30,000.00	335.05	11,000.00
Pemiscot	62,000.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	91.34	24,000.00	0.00	-	71.72	38,000.00	0.00	-
Perry	-	0.00		0.00	-	0.00	•	25.35	-	17.38		0.00	
Pettis	38,000.00	0.00	-	37.88	-	0.00		11.70	-	98.47	38,000.00	0.00	-
Phelps	68,000.00	0.00	-	108.77	24,000.00	0.00		100.35	24,000.00	53.07		199.65	20,000.00
Pike	10,000.00	0.00	-	0.00		0.00	-	0.00		54.49	-	86.98	10,000.00
Platte	-	0.00		0.00	-	0.00		0.00		1,39	-	0.00	-
Polk	48,000.00	0.00	-	114.40	24,000.00	0.00	-	94.78	14,000.00	76.51	-	1076.98	10,000.00
Pulaski	22,000.00	0.00	-	0.00		0.00	-	111.51	12,000.00	59.93		121.57	10,000.00
Putnam	38,000.00	0.00		47.30		0.00	-	16.79	-	81.68	38,000.00	0.00	-
Ralls	38,000.00	0.00	•	28.89	-	0.00		0.00	-	99.63	38,000.00	0.00	
Randolph	72,000.00	0.00		92.73	24,000.00	0.00	-	0.00	· -	76.95	38,000.00	81.77	10,000.00
Ray	57,000.00	0.00		0.00		81.69	19,000.00	29.89		77.87	38,000.00	0.00	
Reynolds	-	0.00		9.33	· ·	0.00		0.00	-	51.83		17.97	-
Ripley	82,000.00	0.00	-	65.89		146.09	24.000.00	44.33		77.44	38,000.00	174.22	20,000.00
Saline	48,000.00	0.00	-	92.77	10,000.00	0.00		0.00		95.38	38,000.00	0.00	
Schuyler		0.00		0.00	-	0.00		30.00		69.09		0.00	
Scotland	38,000.00	0.00	-	63.51		0.00		12.39	-	99.76	38,000.00	0.00	-
Scott	62,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	72.43	24,000.00	0.00		137.70	38,000.00	0.00	-
Shannon	24,000.00	0.00		100.00	24,000.00	0.00	-	50.38		44.32		0.00	
Shelby	38,000.00	0.00	-	0.00		0.00		0.00		85.65	38.000.00	0.00	
St. Charles	27,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00		0.00		74.55	27,000.00	0.00	-
St. Clair	62,000.00	0.00		116.67	24,000.00	0.00	-	34,28	-	100.25	38,000.00	0.00	-
St. Francios	-	0.00		21.01	-	0.00		0.00	-	36.08		0.00	-
St. Louis		0.00		0.00	-	0.00		0.00	;	0.00		0.00	
Ste. Genevieve	100,000.00	0.00		71.92	18,000.00	0.00	-	167.22	24,000.00	71.10	38,000.00	77.06	20,000.00
Stoddard	62,000.00	0.00		0.00	-	76.01	24.000.00	0.00	-	79.08	38,000.00	0.00	-
Stone	-	0.00	-	45.59		0.00	-	0.00		19.90		59.85	-
Sullivan	48,000.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00		100.00	10,000.00	92.56	38,000.00	0.00	-
Taney	24,000.00	0.00		302.96	24,000.00	0.00		18.54	-	40.52		63.22	
Texas	156,000.00	97.45	50,000.00	100.00	24,000.00	0.00		97.76	24,000.00	100.00	38,000.00	90.92	20,000.00
Vernon	86,000.00	0.00		78.16	24,000.00	0.00	-	88.17		79.70	38,000.00	0.00	
Warren	74,000.00	0.00	-	123.01	21,000.00	0.00	-	385.34		154.51	33,000.00	100.00	10,000.00
Washington	37,000.00	0.00	-	5.46	,	0.00	-	0.00		95.24	17,000.00	80.94	20,000.00
Wayne	24,000.00	0.00	-	65.75	-	0.00	-	100.00	24,000.00	10.20	-	0.00	
Webster	24,000.00	50.00	-	89.36	24,000.00	0.00	-	23.99		46.11		0.00	
Worth	38,000.00	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		76.90	38,000.00	0.00	
Wright	142,000.00	74.21	50,000.00	82.60	24,000.00	0.00		94.27		96.44	24,000.00	77.49	20,000.00

n		Allereded	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	<u>Contract</u> Payment	% Contract	# Contract	n. 11.
_	eistrict	Allocated			Contracts	rayment	Payment	<u>Payments</u>	<u>Pending</u>
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project: AWM - ANIMAL WA		_			<u> </u>		
		\$845,000.00	\$354,543.05	41.96%	28	\$140,476.75	16.62%	6	\$162,476.82
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:GM - GRAZING MA							<i>,</i>
		\$3,559,768.70	\$2,183,269.69	61.33%	780	\$688,580.29	19.34%	245	\$346,775.18
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:IM - IRRIGATION M	ANAGEMENT						
		\$1,129,236.41	\$639,046.10	56.59%	137	\$195,240.10	17.29%	40	\$5,279.03
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:NM - NUTRIENT MA			<u>-</u>				
		\$16,141.49	\$16,141.49	100.00%	10	\$11,641.49	72.12%	8	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:NP - NUTRIENT & P							
		\$742,573.89	\$731,089.39	98.45%	611	\$371,150.83	49.98%	368	-\$6,535.70
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:SA - SENSITIVE ARI							
		\$2,231,720.83	\$934,204.80	41.86%	303	\$326,979.44	14.65%	122	\$121,163.75
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:SGE - SHEET AND R							
		\$17,916,956.71	\$13,679,546.83	76.35%	2759	\$8,094,110.48	45.18%	1427	\$707,811.45
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:WE - WOODLAND E							
		\$1,074,081.00	\$492,386.20	45.84%	192	\$89,224.48	8.31%	37	\$101,241.36
	Subtota	al for R \$27,515,479.03	\$19,030,227.55	69.16%	4820	\$9,917,403.86	36.04%	2253	\$1,438,211.89
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:BDSP-31 - BUFFER		_					
		\$28,800.00	\$1,200.00	4.17%	4	\$1,200.00	4.17%	4	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN048 - DRY AUGL		_					
		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00

FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN Project:SN051 - LOWER BIG MARIES RIVER

					<u>#</u>	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
<u>I</u>	<u>District</u>	Allocated	<u>Obligated</u>	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	Pending
		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%		\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN054 - CHARETTE C	CREEK						
		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN055 - FLAT CREEK							
-		\$18,542.25	\$18,542.25	100.00%	2	\$18,542.25	100.00%	2	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project; SN056 - MUSSEL FOR	K						
		\$7,494.45	\$7,494.45	100.00%	1	\$7,494.45	100.00%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN057 - UPPER MONI	TEAU CREEK						
		\$22,965.20	\$22,965.20	100.00%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN060 - LITTLE CHA	RITON RIVER						
		\$20,062.65	\$20,062.65	100.00%	1	\$20,062.65	100.00%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN061 - UPPER TAVE	RN CREEK						
		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%		\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN062 - LOWER MON	HTEAU CREEK						
		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN063 - MUDDY CRE	EK						
	Tuna Coucisi	\$9,248.08	\$9,242.32	99.94%	1	\$9,242.32	99.94%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN064 - HOMINY CRI	rek						
11.2012	Tana Couc.Siv	\$4,404.86	\$4,404.86	100.00%	3	\$2,941.86	66.79%	2	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN068 - LITTLE NOR	TH FORK SPRII	NC CREEK					
F 1, 2012	Fund Code:SN	\$70,000.00	\$32,762.07	46.80%	20	\$15,040.72	21.49%	10	\$0.00
EW. 2012	D. A.C.A. ON	Destruction of the Ax Core	1902						
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN070 - SHOAL CREE	LK.						

_				0/0111	#	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
<u>District</u>		<u>Allocated</u>	<u>Obligated</u>	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	<u>Pending</u>
		\$45,000.00	\$22,424.68	49.83%	2	\$22,424.68	49.83%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN071 - BIG CREEK							
		\$69,081.00	\$33,797.00	48.92%	6	\$11,623.10	16.83%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN072 - GREASY CRE							
		\$70,546.68	\$9,585.00	13.59%	8	\$900.00	1.28%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN074 - LITTLE MARI	ES RIVER						
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$55,000.00	\$41,187.73	74.89%	9	\$26,112.02	47.48%	5	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN075 - INDIAN CREE	K						
		\$63,440.00	\$55,716.58	87.83%	6	\$19,391.07	30.57%	3	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN076 - MUDDY CREE	E K						
		\$80,000.00	\$9,143.75	11.43%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN077 - BEAR/BRUSH	CREEK						
		\$30,000.17	\$29,984.43	99.95%	6	\$21,716.13	72.39%	4	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN079 - RAMSEY CRE	EK						
		\$40,000.00	\$25,351.11	63.38%	4	\$15,351.11	38.38%	3	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN081 - OSAGE PLAIN	NS						
		\$50,000.00	\$35,003.38	70.01%	9	\$16,638.27	33.28%	6	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN082 - WOODS FORE	K/GASCONADE	RIVER					
		\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	100.00%	2	\$1,035.00	2.07%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN083 - LOWER COLI	E CAMP						
		\$87,000.00	\$13,881.00	15.96%	7	\$11,552.00	13.28%	6	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN084 - SHOAL CREE	K						

Ω	District	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
		\$60,000.00	\$59,713.55	99.52%	6	\$36,807.99	61.35%	4	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN085 - GRAYS CREE	K						
	-	\$85,000.00	\$14,598.00	17.17%	9	\$1,848.00	2.17%	1	\$13,168.77
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN086 - MIDDLE FORI							
		\$83,000.00	\$73,925.40	89.07%	5	\$8,240.74	9.93%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN087 - LOWER LOUT							
		\$75,800.00	\$68,820.30	90.79%	12	\$14,429.40	19.04%	2	\$6,060.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN088 - LITTLE MARI							
		\$48,000.00	\$1,633.62	3.40%	3	\$1,633.62	3.40%	1	\$3,972.98
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN089 - PEMISCOT CL							
		\$78,500.00	\$46,951.72	59.81%	26	\$17,144.36	21.84%	10	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN090 - SALT FORK C		· 					
		\$65,000.00	\$48,766.30	75.03%	11	\$11,461.72	17.63%	2	\$9,906.78
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN091 - ST. JOHN'S BA	YOU						
		\$56,000.00	\$13,952.29	24.91%	4	\$12,465.75	22.26%	1.	\$6,392.85
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN092 - BESS SLOUGH	I						
		\$85,000.00	\$70,500.04	82.94%	9	\$70,500.04	82.94%	7	\$6,886.86
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN093 - HURRICANE (
		\$72,000.00	\$47,321.74	65.72%	11	\$40,595.58	56.38%	7	\$3,493.12
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN094 - BYRD CREEK							
		\$122,483.00	\$52,557.26	42.91%	26	\$28,099.03	22.94%	14	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN095 - UPPER BIG CI	REEK						÷

D 1			Oblimated	0/ Oblimated	#	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	ъ. н	
<u>District</u>		Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	Pending	
		\$100,000.00	\$34,217.00	34.22%	43	\$349.00	0.35%	1	\$666.00	
FY: 2012 Fund Code	SN Project:S	SN096 - CROWLEY'S	RIDGE							
		\$68,155.00	\$5,379.42	7.89%	4	\$2,725.40	4.00%	1	\$0.00	
FY: 2012 Fund Code	SN Project:S	SN097 - PEARSON CI	REEK							
		\$59,078.00	\$11,599.69	19.63%	12	\$8,015.00	13.57%	9	\$0.00	
FY: 2012 Fund Code	SN Project:S	SN098 - WARM FOR	K OF SPRING RI	VER						
		\$76,450.00	\$39,058.10	51.09%	93	\$27,823.15	36.39%	68	\$22,278.37	
FY: 2012 Fund Code	SN Project:S	SN099 - HEATHS CR	EEK							
		\$88,500.00	\$82,306.68	93.00%	10	\$43,888.81	49.59%	7	\$0.00	
FY: 2012 Fund Code	SN Project:S	Project:SN100 - ELK FORK SALT RIVER & COON CREEK								
		\$55,000.00	\$19,630.76	35.69%	4	\$15,590.25	28.35%	3	\$0.00	
FY: 2012 Fund Code	SN Project:S	SN101 - CROOKED R	LIVER							
		\$79,000.00	\$77,628.26	98.26%	12	\$63,974.37	80.98%	8	\$0.00	
FY: 2012 Fund Code	SN Project:S	SN102 - SOUTH WYA	CONDA					•		
		\$80,000.00	\$71,943.13	89.93%	13	\$53,634.82	67.04%	11	\$55,53	
FY: 2012 Fund Code	SN Project:S	Project:SN103 - LOWER HEADWATERS OF JAMES RIVER								
		\$73,740.00	\$42,943.63	58.24%	22	\$11,548.48	15.66%	5	\$21,090.43	
FY: 2012 Fund Code	SN Project:S	SN104 - CLARK / WC	LF CREEK						,	
		\$70,000.00	\$4,050.00	5.79%		\$3,850.00	5.50%	4	\$0.00	
Subto	tal for SN	\$2,402,291.34	\$1,330,245.35	55.37%	435	\$695,893.14	28.97%	219	\$93,971.69	

Master Fund Status Summary (2012)

Subtotal for R	\$27,515,479.03	\$19,030,227.55	69.16%	4820	\$9,917,403.86	36.04%	2253	\$1,438,211.89
Subtotal for SN	\$2,402,291.34	\$1,330,245.35	55.37%	435	\$695,893.14	28.97%	219	\$93,971.69
Report Totals	\$29,917,770.37	\$20,360,472.90	68.05%	5255	\$10,613,297.00	35.47%	2472	\$1,532,183.58

District	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Dandina
				<u>contracts</u>	rayment	1 ayment	1 ayments	Pending
FY: 2012 Fund Code:R	Project:AWM - ANIMAL WAS							
47 BARRY SWCD	\$43,000.00	\$43,000.00	100.00%	3	\$18,000.00	41.86%	1	\$0.00
70 CASS SWCD	\$50,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
79 CHARITON SWCD	\$25,000.00	\$0.00	$\boldsymbol{0.00\%}$	0	\$0.00	0.00%	. 0	\$0.00
107 COLE SWCD	\$40,000.00	\$18,761.71	46.90%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
2 FRANKLIN SWCD	\$25,000.00	\$0.00	$\boldsymbol{0.00\%}$	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
90 GREENE SWCD	\$50,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
27 MCDONALD SWCE	\$67,000.00	\$67,000.00	100.00%	5	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$25,000.00
96 MILLER SWCD	\$100,000.00	\$46,001.42	46.00%	6	\$25,000.00	25.00%	1	\$37,869.75
105 MONITEAU SWCD	\$50,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	. 1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$25,000.00
40 NEWTON SWCD	\$70,000.00	\$43,946.10	62.78%	3	\$11,250.00	16.07%	1	\$0.00
34 TEXAS SWCD	\$100,000.00	\$48,726.75	48.73%	4	\$48,726.75	48.73%	2	\$50,000.00
77 WEBSTER SWCD	\$75,000.00	\$37,500.00	50.00%	1	\$37,500.00	50.00%	1	\$0.00
54_ WRIGHT SWCD_	\$150,000.00	\$49,607.07	33.07%	4	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$24,607.07
	\$845,000.00	\$354,543.05	41.96%	28	\$140,476.75	16.62%	6	\$162,476.82
FY: 2012 Fund Code:R	Project: GM - GRAZING MANA	GEMENT						
56 ADAIR SWCD	\$5,896.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
109 ANDREW SWCD	\$19,788.00	\$323.15	1.63%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
47 BARRY SWCD	\$33,450.00	\$31,325.88	93.65%	6	\$7,761.93	23.20%	ī	\$6,630.00
38 BARTON SWCD	\$18,428.00	\$11,744.99	63.73%	5	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
71 BATES SWCD	\$15,036.00	\$6,270.00	41.70%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
95 BENTON SWCD	\$35,992.00	\$2,235.61	6.21%	3	\$2,235.61	6.21%	2	\$0.00
72 BOLLINGER SWCD		\$295.83	2.97%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
13 BOONE SWCD	\$38,411.00	\$13,213.10	34.40%	6	\$2,354.50	6.13%	1	\$0.00
46 BUTLER SWCD	\$11,340.00	\$3,176.69	28.01%	5	\$3,176.69	28.01%	5	\$0.00
26 CALDWELL SWCD	\$20,160.00	\$7,416.75	36.79%	4	\$3,555.32	17.64%	1	\$6,796.27
3 CALLAWAY SWCD		\$726.34	7.61%	i	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
89 CAMDEN SWCD	\$24,057.00	\$15,299.23	63,60%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	ŏ	\$5,087.08
12 CAPE GIRARDEAU		\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	Ŏ	\$0.00
29 CARROLL SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	Ö	\$0.00
45 CARTER SWCD	\$59,201.00	\$28,772.32	48.60%	17	\$21,106.43	35.65%	11	\$2,665.68
70 CASS SWCD	\$40,217.00	\$18,100.95	45.01%	5	\$1,431.41	3.56%	11	\$2,003.08
57 CEDAR SWCD	\$46,050.00	\$33,765.45	73.32%	15	\$16,918.70	36.74%	7	\$6,362.63
79 CHARITON SWCD	\$17,820.00	\$5,852.00	32.84%	13	\$5,852.00	32.84%	1	\$0,302.03
86 CHRISTIAN SWCD	\$40,710.00	\$18,087.86	44.43%	5	\$8,795.22	21.60%	3	\$0.00 \$0.00
of childrin on CD	φ 4 υ, / 10.00	#10,00/.00	77.73 /0	3	50,/93.42	41.00%	3	Φυ.υ υ.

	Distuist	Allonatad	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	n 11
	<u>District</u>	Allocated		76Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	Pending
FY: 20		Project:GM - GRAZING MANA	GEMENT						
-	CLAY SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
84	CLINTON SWCD	\$5,465.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
107	COLE SWCD	\$18,240.00	\$15,737.95	86.28%	5	\$9,917.95	54.37%	2	\$2,906.24
103	COOPER SWCD	\$5,670.00	\$1,972.09	34.78%	1	\$1,972.09	34.78%	1	\$0.00
113	CRAWFORD SWCD	\$69,000.00	\$35,214.30	51.04%	19	\$19,672.83	28.51%	8	\$4,023.46
35	DADE SWCD	\$76,920.00	\$54,943.07	71.43%	12	\$20,226.12	26.30%	4	\$0.00
91	DALLAS SWCD	\$97,710.00	\$87,276.73	89.32%	24	\$37,271.39	38.14%	11	-\$600.75
8	DAVIESS SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$631.12	15.78%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
7	DEKALB SWCD	\$37,365.00	\$10,277.59	27.51%	4	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
41	DENT SWCD	\$150,255.00	\$134,085.66	89.24%	52	\$55,593.51	37.00%	26	\$24,141.49
94	DOUGLAS SWCD	\$67,546.00	\$62,791.73	92.96%	15	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$16,008.82
	FRANKLIN SWCD	\$35,565.00	\$12,159.02	34.19%	5	\$1,238.05	3.48%	1	\$0.00
	GASCONADE SWCD	\$17,097.00	\$17,097.00	100.00%	12	\$9,529.08	55.74%	8	\$0.00
I 4	GENTRY SWCD	\$8,505.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.0 0	0.00%	0	\$0.00
90	GREENE SWCD	\$49,736.00	\$31,259.61	62.85%	19	\$8,713.08	17.52%	8	\$0.00
81	GRUNDY SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
1	HARRISON SWCD	\$20,175.00	\$10,010.97	49.62%	4	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	HENRY SWCD	\$40,065.00	\$36,242.48	90.46%	8	\$8,633.42	21.55%	3	\$0.00
88	HICKORY SWCD	\$83,001.00	\$21,268.25	25.62%	5	\$1,732.45	2.09%	1	\$0.00
85	HOWARD SWCD	\$9,180.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.0 0	0.00%	0	\$0.00
82	HOWELL SWCD	\$184,587.00	\$44,346.70	24.02%	30	\$7,936.75	4.30%	4	\$90,282.30
101	IRON SWCD	\$11,070.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
58	JASPER SWCD	\$66,713.00	\$65,778.54	98.60%	21	\$9,296.77	13.94%	3	\$31,084.43
112	JEFFERSON SWCD	\$14,742.00	\$1,518.71	10.30%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
11	JOHNSON SWCD	\$45,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
59	KNOX SWCD	\$14,657.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
73	LACLEDE SWCD	\$71,368.00	\$69,345.30	97.17%	22	\$29,338.54	41.11%	9	\$0.00
21	LAFAYETTE SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
51	LAWRENCE SWCD	\$82,185.00	\$53,262.72	64.81%	20	\$13,555.11	16.49%	2	\$12,602.36
	LEWIS SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	LINN SWCD	\$4,027.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	LIVINGSTON SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
43	MACON SWCD	\$11,403.00	\$7,893.20	69.22%	2	\$4,658.00	40.85%	1	\$0.00
87	MADISON SWCD	\$4,455.00	\$1,802.00	40.45%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
75	MARIES SWCD	\$76,050.00	\$75,538.41	99.33%	28	\$37,743.50	49.63%	10	\$0.00

			61.11		<u>#</u>	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
	<u>District</u>	<u>Allocated</u>	<u>Obligated</u>	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	Payments	Pending
FY: 20	012 Fund Code:R Project:C	GM - GRAZING MANA	GEMENT						
27	MCDONALD SWCD	\$7,245.00	\$4,853.49	66.99%	5	\$2,508.68	34.63%	2	\$2,280.00
80	MERCER SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
96	MILLER SWCD	\$51,600.00	\$24,759.32	47.98%	8	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$5,916.50
105	MONITEAU SWCD	\$19,998.00	\$1,882.91	9.42%	1	\$1,882.91	9.42%	1	\$0.00
60	MONROE SWCD	\$4,718.00	\$2,931.34	62.13%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
30	MONTGOMERY SWCD	\$5,355.00	\$0.00	0.00%	5	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$16,329.96
111	MORGAN SWCD	\$32,521.00	\$19,532.47	60.06%	6	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$10,911.35
40	NEWTON SWCD	\$34,233.00	\$15,441.39	45.11%	7	\$6,268.61	18.31%	1	\$5,232.67
66	OREGON SWCD	\$87,233.00	\$80,690.97	92.50%	37	\$16,285.16	18.67%	14	\$1,093.50
93	OSAGE SWCD	\$55,106.00	\$25,202.95	45.74%	9	\$12,032.25	21.83%	4	\$7,855.45
97	OZARK SWCD	\$131,384.00	\$98,856.56	75.24%	32	\$8,265.92	6.29%	5	\$2,750.79
98	PETTIS SWCD	\$13,833.70	\$12,987.40	93.88%	5	\$5,239.95	37.88%	2 :	\$0.00
110	PHELPS SWCD	\$87,000.00	\$74,530.04	85.67%	15	\$40,017.73	46.00%	6	\$3,179.00
18	PIKE SWCD	\$5,400.00	\$2,983.00	55.24%	I	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
99	PLATTE SWCD	\$5,355.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
67	POLK SWCD	\$105,900.00	\$92,309.29	87.17%	31	\$14,525.80	13.72%	5 .	\$24,424.42
61	PULASKI SWCD	\$12,137.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
52	PUTNAM SWCD	\$33,413.00	\$14,413.06	43.14%	3	\$1,612.91	4.83%	1	\$0.00
23	RALLS SWCD	\$4,050.00	\$1,170.00	28.89%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$703.44
76	RANDOLPH SWCD	\$36,285.00	\$12,996.34	35.82%	7	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$4,220.40
17	RAY SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	REYNOLDS SWCD	\$11,269.00	\$1,051.61	9.33%	9	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$17,590.51
37	RIPLEY SWCD	\$23,030.00	\$18,774.80	81.52%	6	\$7,571.08	32.87%	4	\$0.00
100	SALINE SWCD	\$19,815.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
16	SCOTLAND SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$2,540.52	63.51%	2	\$2,540.52	63.51%	2	\$0.00
53	SCOTT SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	SHANNON SWCD	\$55,658.00	\$31,658.00	56.88%	12	\$0.0 0	0.00%	0	\$11,177.50
-	SHELBY SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
4	ST. CHARLES SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	ST. CLAIR SWCD	\$151,339.00	\$148,432.95	98.08%	23	\$69,697.3 3	46.05%	14	\$0.00
92	ST. FRANCOIS SWCD	\$76,005.00	\$15,967.32	21.01%	4	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
31	ST. LOUIS SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
83	STE. GENEVIEVE SWCD	\$24,150.00	\$4,423.17	18.32%	8	\$4,423.17	18.32%	7	\$715.04
65	STONE SWCD	\$37,044.00	\$23,293.98	62.88%	6	\$15,620.23	42.17%	4	\$0.00
69	SULLIVAN SWCD	\$4,027.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00

				W 0111	#	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
	<u>District</u>	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	<u>Contracts</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	Payments	<u>Pending</u>
FY: 20	012 Fund Code:R Project:GN	A - GRAZING MANA	AGEMENT					• •	
68	TANEY SWCD	\$39,800.00	\$28,373.27	71.29%	14	\$5,061.49	12.72%	1 .	\$16,042.80
34	TEXAS SWCD	\$112,200.00	\$111,223.26	99.13%	49	\$50,151.34	44.70%	19	\$0.00
39	VERNON SWCD	\$54,433.00	\$25,988.72	47.74%	7	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .:	\$9,831.74
55	WARREN SWCD	\$30,545.00	\$0.00	0.00%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$1,000.64
114	WASHINGTON SWCD	\$45,147.00	\$2,464.11	5.46%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$3,318.00
28	WAYNE SWCD	\$34,532.00	\$21,341.53	61.80%	7	\$6,416.35	18.58%	1:	\$0.00
77	WEBSTER SWCD	\$49,988.00	\$45,067.72	90.16%	11	\$11,488.53	22.98%	2	-\$5,788.54
54	WRIGHT SWCD	\$173,625.00	\$170,068.90	97.95%	50	\$56,753.88	32.69%	15	\$0.00
		\$3,559,768.70	\$2,183,269.69	61.33%	780	\$688,580.29	19.34%	245	\$346,775.18
			•						
FY: 20	012 Fund Code:R Project:IM	- IRRIGATION MA	NAGEMENT				*		
108	ATCHISON SWCD	\$20,250.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
38	BARTON SWCD	\$14,090.00	\$6,105.84	43.33%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
71	BATES SWCD	\$2,978.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
72	BOLLINGER SWCD	\$28,294.00	\$22,034.35	77.88%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
13	BOONE SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
46	BUTLER SWCD	\$129,000.00	\$124,076.41	96.18%	11	\$24,335.86	18.87%	2	\$0.00
12	CAPE GIRARDEAU SWCD	\$36,300.00	\$30,168.10	83.11%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
29	CARROLL SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
45	CARTER SWCD	\$35,201.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
70	CASS SWCD	\$18,350.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	DUNKLIN SWCD	\$184,131.41	\$90,088.89	48.93%	24	\$45,065.75	24.47%	5	\$2,703.03
	HOLT SWCD	\$18,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	KNOX SWCD	\$18,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	LIVINGSTON SWCD	\$35,000.00	\$18,423.57	52.64%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	MISSISSIPPI SWCD	\$52,500.00	\$28,813.17	54.88%	12	\$10,944.27	20.85%	4	\$0.00
	NEW MADRID SWCD	\$74,000.00	\$38,132.04	51.53%	13	\$12,165.73	16.44%	5	\$0.00
-	PEMISCOT SWCD	\$124,000.00	\$98,191.58	79.19%	25	\$50,181.42	40.47%	7	\$0.00
	RAY SWCD	\$23,262.00	\$3,481.81	14.97%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	RIPLEY SWCD	\$45,000.00	\$30,678.26	68.17%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	SCOTT SWCD	\$69,000.00	\$32,593.11	47.24%	8	\$12,453.11	18.05%	5	\$0.00
	ST. CHARLES SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	STE. GENEVIEVE SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
33	STODDARD SWCD	\$174,000.00	\$116,258.97	66.82%	32	\$40,093.96	23.04%	12	\$2,576.00
39	VERNON SWCD	\$11,880.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00

	D: 4 * 4		Ohlimata I	0/ 01:1:4 1	#	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
	<u>District</u>	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	<u>Contracts</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	<u>Pending</u>
	•	\$1,129,236.41	\$639,046.10	56.59%	137	\$195,240.10	17.29%	40	\$5,279.03
FY: 2		Project:NM - NUTRIENT MA	NAGEMENT						
11	JOHNSON SWCD	\$4,500.00	\$4,500.00	100.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0,00
30		+ -) 	\$8,203.49	100.00%	6	\$8,203.49	100.00%	6 . '	\$0.00
	PUTNAM SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
39	VERNON SWCD	\$3,438.00	\$3,438.00	100.00%	3	\$3,438.00	100.00%	2	\$0.00
		\$16,141.49	\$16,141.49	100.00%	10	\$11,641.49	72.12%	8	\$0.00
	•								r È di kaban
FY: 2		Project:NP - NUTRIENT & PE	EST MANAGEME	NT					
109	ANDREW SWCD	\$9,236.10	\$9,236.10	100.00%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
108		\$47,391.00	\$47,391.00	100.00%	11	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
47		\$5,944.00	\$5,944.00	100.00%	6	\$2,504.00	42.13%	3	\$0.00
38		\$24,244.50	\$24,244.50	100.00%	17	\$24,244.50	100.00%	17 👾	\$0.00
71	BATES SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	•	\$6,268.50	\$6,268.50	100.00%	4	\$6,268.50	100.00%	4	\$0.00
	· ·	\$4,948.00	\$4,948.00	100.00%	4	\$1,645.00	33.25%	2	\$0.00
13	BOONE SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
26		\$31,001.00	\$31,001.00	100.00%	32	\$1,935.00	6.24%	3 ·	\$0.00
89		\$5,946.00	\$5,946.00	100.00%	2	\$2,157.00	36.28%	1	\$0.00
12	CAPE GIRARDEAU		\$3,139.00	73.15%	3	\$2,662.00	62.04%	2	\$0.00
45		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
70		\$26,164.00	\$26,164.00	100.00%	29	\$1,926.00	7.36%	3	-\$306.00
	CEDAR SWCD	\$15,204.00	\$15,204.00	100.00%	11	\$13,833.00	90.98%	10	\$0.00
79		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
86	•	\$1,548.00	\$1,548.00	100.00%	2	\$1,548.00	100.00%	2	\$0.00
84		\$33,916.96	\$33,916.96	100.00%	16	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
107	COOPER SWCD	\$2,807.00	\$2,807.00	100.00%	4	\$636.00	22.66%	1	\$0.00
103	CRAWFORD SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
113	DADE SWCD	\$4,396.00 \$0.00	\$4,396.00	$100.00\% \ 0.00\%$	6	\$4,396.00	100.00%	6	\$0.00
	DALLAS SWCD		\$0.00		0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	DEKALB SWCD	\$13,350.00	\$13,350.00	100.00%	12	\$8,325.00	62.36%	7	\$0.00
7	DENT SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	DOUGLAS SWCD	\$53,684.00	\$52,614.00	98.01%	31	\$39,231.00	73.08%	27	\$0.00
94	DOUGLAS SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00

	District	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
EV. 2	-	ect:NP - NUTRIENT & PES		•			<u></u>		<u> </u>
	FRANKLIN SWCD				12	60.00	0.000/	•	ma a = 2 00
_	GASCONADE SWCD	\$20,193.00	\$20,193.00	100.00%	12	\$0.00	0.00%	0	-\$2,253.00
	GREENE SWCD	\$1,574.00	\$1,574.00	100.00%	4	\$1,574.00	100.00%	4	\$0.00
90	HARRISON SWCD	\$5,621.00	\$5,426.00	96.53%	3	\$5,426.00	96.53%	3	\$0.00
1 40	HENRY SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	HICKORY SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
_	HOLT SWCD	\$3,099.00	\$3,099.00	100.00%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00,
	HOWELL SWCD	\$3,712.50	\$3,712.50	100.00%	4	\$2,709.00	72.97%	3	\$0.00
101	IRON SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	JACKSON SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	JASPER SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	JEFFERSON SWCD	\$17,633.00	\$17,633.00	100.00%	13	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
11	JOHNSON SWCD	\$17,889.00	\$17,889.00	100.00%	15	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	KNOX SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
73	LACLEDE SWCD	\$28,278.00	\$28,278.00	100.00%	13	\$17,955.00	63.49%	10	\$0.00
21	LAFAYETTE SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
51	LAWRENCE SWCD	\$1,194.00	\$1,194.00	100.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	LÍNN SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
50	LIVINGSTON SWCD	\$10,878.00	\$10,776.00	99.06%	10	\$6,805.00	62.56%	7	-\$2,640.00
43	MACON SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
87	MADISON SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0:00
	MARIES SWCD	\$3,954.00	\$3,954.00	100.00%	13	\$2,186.00	55.29%	8	\$0.00
27	MCDONALD SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.09%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
96	MILLER SWCD	\$13,450.00	\$13,450.00	100.00%	11	\$1,360.00	10.11%	2	\$0.00
.105	MONITEAU SWCD	\$24,137.50	\$24,137.50	100.00%	16	\$14,883.00	61.66%	9	\$0.00
30	MONTGOMERY SWCD	\$9,852.00	\$9,852.00	100.00%	15	\$504.00	5.12%	2	-\$943.20
I 1 1	MORGAN SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$1,881.00
40	NEWTON SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
66	OREGON SWCD	\$29,442.00	\$28,101.00	95.45%	76	\$26,956.50	91.56%	. 73	-\$1,144.50
93	OSAGE SWCD	\$2,134.00	\$2,134.00	100.00%	3	\$930.00	43.58%	2	\$0.00
97	OZARK SWCD	\$21,693.33	\$21,693.33	100.00%	14	\$16,466.83	75.91%	11 .	\$0.00
98	PETTIS SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
110	PHELPS SWCD	\$9,685.50	\$8,792.50	90.78%	13	\$8,792.50	90.78%	13	\$0.00
18	PIKE SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
67	POLK SWCD	\$33,811.00	\$33,811.00	100.00%	16	\$18,171.00	53.74%	9	\$0.00

					<u>#</u>	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
<u>Distri</u>	<u>ct</u>	Allocated	<u>Obligated</u>	%Obligated	Contracts	Payment	<u>Payment</u>	Payments	Pending
FY: 2012 Fur	nd Code:R Project:NP	- NUTRIENT & PES	T MANAGEME	NT					
61 PULASK	KI SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
52 PUTNAN	M SWCD	\$18,505.50	\$18,505.50	100.00%	12	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
76 RANDO	LPH SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
17 RAYSW	VCD	\$11,556.00	\$11,390.00	98.56%	10	\$6,462.00	55.92%	7	\$0.00
25 REYNO	LDS SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
37 RIPLEY		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
16 SCOTLA	AND SWCD	\$5,262.00	\$5,262.00	100.00%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
53 SCOTT S	SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	ON SWCD	\$1,466.00	\$1,466.00	100.00%	3	\$1,466.00	100.00%	3	\$0.00
6 SHELBY		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	ARLES SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	JIS SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
65 STONE S		\$30,445.00	\$28,619.00	94.00%	23	\$27,609.00	90.68%	22	-\$1,010.00
68 TANEY		\$22,075.00	\$21,652.00	98.08%	19	\$21,652.00	98.08%	19	\$0.00
34 TEXAS		\$33,955.00	\$33,720.00	99.31%	27	\$23,170.00	68.24%	18	-\$120.00
39 VERNOI		\$1,340.00	\$1,340.00	100.00%	1	\$1,340.00	100.00%	1	\$0.00
	EN SWCD	\$13,848.50	\$11,207.00	80.93%	17	\$11,207.00	80.93%	17	\$0.00
114 WASHIN	NGTON SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	100.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
28 WAYNE		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	ER SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	100.00%	5	\$4,000.00	100.00%	5	\$0.00
54 WRIGHT	T SWCD	\$47,550.00	\$ <u>46,110.00</u>	96.97%	42	\$38,215.00	80.37%	32	\$0.00
	_	\$742,573.89	\$731,089.39	98.45%	611	\$371,150.83	49.98%	368	-\$6,535.70
777 2012 F							•		
	· ·	- SENSITIVE AREA							•
56 ADAIR S		\$2,400.00	\$1,200.00	50.00%	3	\$1,200.00	50.00%	3	\$0.00
109 ANDRE		\$16,524.00	\$800.00	4.84%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	IN SWCD	\$10,400.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
47 BARRY		\$14,850.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
38 BARTO	·	\$10,208.00	\$0.00	$\boldsymbol{0.00\%}$	Ó	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
71 BATES S		\$35,178.00	\$10,000.00	28.43%	1	\$10,000.00	28.43%	1	\$0.00
95 BENTO		\$37,802.00	\$15,790.97	41.77%	4	\$15,790.97	41.77%	4	\$0.00
. —	IGER SWCD	\$11,909.00	\$800.00	6.72%	2	\$800.00	6.72%	2	\$0.00 °
13 BOONE		\$11,909.00	\$800.00	6.72%	2	\$800.00	6.72%	2	\$0.00
	NAN SWCD	\$11,502.00	\$4,015.66	34.91%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	-\$787.22
46 BUTLER	R SWCD	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00

				0/ 01 12 / 1	#	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
	<u>District</u>	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	Payments	Pending
FY: 20	012 Fund Code:R Project:SA - S	SENSITIVE AREAS							,
26	CALDWELL SWCD	\$16,380.00	\$14,816.43	90.45%	8	\$6,900.78	42.13%	3	\$0.00
3	CALLAWAY SWCD	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
89	CAMDEN SWCD	\$12,461.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
12	CAPE GIRARDEAU SWCD	\$11,342.00	\$394.11	3.47%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
29	CARROLL SWCD	\$23,208.00	\$9,649.41	41.58%	2	\$9,649.41	41.58%	2	\$0.00
	CARTER SWCD	\$11,342.00	\$3,459.67	30.50%	1	\$3,459.67	30.50%	1	\$0.00
	CASS SWCD	\$29,342.00	\$13,804.35	47.05%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
57	CEDAR SWCD	\$11,909.00	\$7,809.05	65.57%	3	\$7,809.05	65.57%	3	\$0.00
79	CHARITON SWCD	\$12,300.00	\$5,880.93	47.81%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	CHRISTIAN SWCD	\$34,208.00	\$9,931.47	29.03%	4	\$9,931.47	29.03%	1 1	\$1,200.00
10	CLARK SWCD	\$3,200.00	\$400.00	12.50%	1	\$400.00	12.50%	1	\$0.00
9	CLAY SWCD	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
107	COLE SWCD	\$46,283.00	\$32,891.44	71.07%	10	\$16,513.57	35.68%	5	\$0.00
103.	COOPER SWCD	\$11,342.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
113	CRAWFORD SWCD	\$10,208.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0 ;	\$0.00
	DADE SWCD	\$18,400.00	\$14,629.14	79.51%	2	\$14,629,14	79.51%	2	\$0.00
	DALLAS SWCD	\$42,648.00	\$18,741.97	43.95%	9	\$16,341.97	38.32%	2	\$23,906.03
8	DAVIESS SWCD	\$11,016.00	\$800.00	7.26%	2	\$800.00	7.26%	2	\$0.00
7	DEKALB SWCD	\$10,208.00	\$1,200.00	11.76%	3	\$400.00	3.92%	1	\$0.00
41	DENT SWCD	\$46,302.00	\$19,144.25	41.35%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
94	DOUGLAS SWCD	\$41,310.00	\$29,444.31	71.28%	5	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
. 22	DUNKLIN SWCD	\$10,208.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
2	FRANKLIN SWCD	\$23,051.00	\$12,175.00	52.82%	2	\$3,573.97	15.50%	1 .	\$0.00
62	GASCONADE SWCD	\$11,909.00	\$7,423.14	62.33%	3	\$5,400.00	45.34%	2	\$0.00
14	GENTRY SWCD	\$10,208.00	\$2,924.31	28.65%	2	\$2,924.31	28.65%	2	\$0.00
90	GREENE SWCD	\$52,760.00	\$35,175.10	66.67%	6	\$12,196.02	23.12%	1	\$0.00
· 81	GRUNDY SWCD	\$800.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
1	HARRISON SWCD	\$21,578.00	\$0.00	0.00%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$800.00
48	HENRY SWCD	\$11,909.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	HICKORY SWCD	\$55,688.00	\$55,688.00	100.00%	7	\$9,948.89	17.87%	5	\$0.00
	HOWARD SWCD	\$16,202.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
.82	HOWELL SWCD	\$18,137.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
101	IRON SWCD	\$11,909.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	JACKSON SWCD	\$9,450.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
58	JASPER SWCD	\$6,120.00	\$0.00	$\boldsymbol{0.00\%}$	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00

D1.1			9/ 01 1: - / 1	#	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
<u>District</u>	<u>Allocated</u>	Obligated	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	Pending
FY: 2012 Fund Code:R Project:SA -	SENSITIVE AREAS	,					•	
112 JEFFERSON SWCD	\$12,327.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
II JOHNSON SWCD	\$15,531.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
59 KNOX SWCD	\$11,909.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
73 LACLEDE SWCD	\$21,909.00	\$9,544.12	43.56%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
51 LAWRENCE SWCD	\$10,208.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0 ·	\$0.00
24 LINCOLN SWCD	\$3,700.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0 -	\$0.00
50 LIVINGSTON SWCD	\$10,650.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
43 MACON SWCD	\$14,000.00	\$8,400.00	60.00%	14	\$5,600.00	40.00%	9 .	\$0.00
87 MADISON SWCD	\$10,322.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
75 MARIES SWCD	\$48,570.00	\$29,921.06	61.60%	13	\$4,260.71	8.77%	1 %	\$14,055.56
27 MCDONALD SWCD	\$35,909.00	\$23,941.41	66.67%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
80 MERCER SWCD	\$1,600.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
96 MILLER SWCD	\$70,710.00	\$44,886.56	63.48%	11	\$13,086.45	18.51%	4	. \$2,163.29
105 MONITEAU SWCD	\$30,909.00	\$9,834.19	31.82%	2	\$1,474.87	4.77%	1	\$0.00
60 MONROE SWCD	\$800.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
30 MONTGOMERY SWCD	\$10,208.00	\$400.00	3.92%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
111 MORGAN SWCD	\$35,909.00	\$30,744.48	85.62%	8	\$6,871.71	19.14%	1	\$19,013.71
40 NEWTON SWCD	\$35,909.00	\$6,329.74	17.63%	2	\$6,329.74	17.63%	1	\$4,121.53
19 NODAWAY SWCD	\$15,750.00	\$3,187.50	20.24%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0 ·	\$9.00
66 OREGON SWCD	\$73,487.00	\$49,700.60	67.63%	7	\$7,723.32	10.51%	2	\$0.00
93 OSAGE SWCD	\$35,909.00	\$13,413.56	37.35%	10	\$8,313.56	23.15%	5	\$800.00
97 OZARK SWCD	\$52,932.00	\$11,807.22	22.31%	8	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$15,512.22
15 PEMISCOT SWCD	\$16,200.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
64 PERRY SWCD	\$115,200.00	\$55,214.54	47.93%	30	\$22,723.26	19.73%	13	\$0.00
98 PETTIS SWCD	\$6,840.00	\$1,200.00	17.54%	3	\$1,200.00	17.54%	3	\$0.00
110 PHELPS SWCD	\$35,909.00	\$11,924.68	33.21%	3	\$4,730.25	13.17%	1	\$1,808.29
18 PIKE SWCD	\$8,640.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
67 POLK SWCD	\$29,829.00	\$8,656.81	29.02%	5	\$6,324.65	21.20%	2	\$20,623.48
61 PULASKI SWCD	\$22,208.00	\$6,692.51	30.14%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
52 PUTNAM SWCD	\$11,909.00	\$2,400.00	20.15%	4	\$800.00	6.72%	2	\$0.00
23 RALLS SWCD	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
76 RANDOLPH SWCD	\$8,918.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
17 RAY SWCD	\$10,208.00	\$400.00	3.92%	1	\$400.00	3.92%	1	\$0.00
25 REYNOLDS SWCD	\$10,208.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
37 RIPLEY SWCD	\$10,208.00	\$4,525.18	44.33%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00

					#	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
	<u>District</u>	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	Contracts	Payment	Payment	Payments	Pending
FY: 20	012 Fund Code:R Project	t:SA - SENSITIVE AREAS	,					. ·	
	SCHUYLER SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	100.00%	0	\$2,800.00	70.00%		60.00
		\$4,000.00 \$12,915.00	\$12,915.00	100.00%	8 3	\$1,600.00	12.39%	6 2	\$0.00
	SCOTE SWCD	\$12,915.00 \$11,257.00	\$12,915.00	0.00%	0	\$1,000.00 \$0.00	0.00%	. 0	\$0.00
	SHANNON SWCD	,			-			-	\$0.00
49	SHELBY SWCD	\$11,909.00	\$0.00	0.00%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$6,746.00
6	ST. CHARLES SWCD	\$8,622.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.0 0	0.00%	0	\$0.00
4	ST. CLAIR SWCD	\$9,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
63		\$11,909.00	\$11,908.41	100.00%	3	\$4,082.06	34.28%	2	\$0.00
		\$11,909.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
31	ST. LOUIS SWCD	\$10,208.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
83	STE. GENEVIEVE SWCD	\$56,457.83	\$33,438.26	59.23%	10	\$25,449.44	45.08%	6	\$1,041.40
33	STODDARD SWCD	\$4,680.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0.	\$0.00
	STONE SWCD	\$10,208.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
69	SULLIVAN SWCD	\$12,000.00	\$1,600.00	13.33%	5	\$1,200.00	10.00%	3	\$400.00
68	TANEY SWCD	\$75,701.00	\$13,637.05	18.01%	4	\$10,828.50	14.30%	1	\$0.00
34	TEXAS SWCD	\$87,756.00	\$80,963.80	92.26%	21	\$5,848.09	6.66%	3 .	\$5,898.28
39	VERNON SWCD	\$35,342.00	\$10,000.00	28.29%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
55	WARREN SWCD	\$14,700.00	\$9,885.89	67.25%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
114	WASHINGTON SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
28	WAYNE SWCD	\$35,909.00	\$11,889.23	33.11%	2	\$8,528.98	23.75%	1	-\$3,360.25
77	WEBSTER SWCD	\$45,423.00	\$19,249.56	42.38%	6	\$10,024.63	22.07%	1	\$400.00
_54	WRIGHT SWCD	\$69,360.00	\$61,804.73	89.11%	12	\$17,310.00	24.96%	5	\$6,821.43
		\$2,231,720.83	\$934,204.80	41.86%	303	\$326,979.44	14.65%	122	\$121,163.75
			,						i
FY: 2	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t:SGE - SHEET AND RIL	L / GULLY ERG	OSION					٠,
56	ADAIR SWCD	\$152,771.00	\$97,861.78	64.06%	15	\$53,684.85	35.14%	8	\$0.00
109	ANDREW SWCD	\$296,142.00	\$249,835.37	84.36%	32	\$170,589.18	57.60%	23	\$0.00
108	ATCHISON SWCD	\$599,000.00	\$502,404.62	83.87%	40	\$248,582.36	41.50%	21	\$22,176.39
74	AUDRAIN SWCD	\$359,943.00	\$290,859.31	80.81%	77	\$163,287.55	45.36%	45	\$0.00
47	BARRY SWCD	\$38,509.00	\$30,517.10	79.25%	11	\$5,431.15	14.10%	1	-\$4,813.97
38	BARTON SWCD	\$230,223.00	\$229,876.42	99.85%	107	\$196,070.94	85.17%	89	\$7,442.83
71	BATES SWCD	\$136,603.00	\$117,564.71	86.06%	30	\$82,108.41	60.11%	18	\$0.00
95	BENTON SWCD	\$60,130.00	\$58,522.94	97.33%	10	\$39,759.97	66.12%	8	\$0.00
	BOLLINGER SWCD	\$127,731.00	\$54,824.42	42.92%	16	\$46,393.25	36.32%	13	\$9,025.12
13	BOONE SWCD	\$161,967.09	\$105,193.32	64.95%	19	\$64,761.74	39.98%	13	\$2,658.64
	BUCHANAN SWCD	\$228,086.00	\$225,703.72	98.96%	36	\$146,728.02	64.33%	17	\$0.00
101		Ψ==0,000.00	Ψ223,103.12	70.70 /0	30	JITU, / 40.04	04. 33 /0	1 /	φυ . υυ

	District	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Danding
					Contracts	rayment	<u>t ayment</u>	1 ayments	Pending
FY: 2		SGE - SHEET AND RIL							
	BUTLER SWCD	\$111,604.76	\$80,096.97	71.77%	32	\$42,616.66	38.19%	17	\$0.00
26	CALDWELL SWCD	\$359,730.00	\$249,130.44	69.25%	36	\$147,877.40	41.11%	19	\$26,959.58
3		\$149,918.00	\$93,084.97	62.09%	14	\$25,970.81	17.32%	6	\$154.23
89	CAMDEN SWCD	\$9,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
12	CAPE GIRARDEAU SWCD	\$179,993.00	\$48,136.98	26.74%	21	\$37,751.59	20.97%	12	\$13,566.60
29	CARROLL SWCD	\$300,712.00	\$182,653.07	60.74%	27	\$67,209.65	22.35%	8 .	\$15,889.08
45		\$49,233.00	\$22,885.44	46.48%	9	\$18,751.25	38.09%	8	\$0.00
70		\$83,223.00	\$29,653.93	35.63%	4	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
57	CEDAR SWCD	\$44,642.00	\$33,806.20	75.73%	7	\$21,028.45	47.10%	6	\$0.00
79	CHARITON SWCD	\$351,569.00	\$159,619.07	45.40%	26	\$48,029.44	13.66%	9	\$27,642.05
86	CHRISTIAN SWCD	\$27,073.00	\$15,057.44	55.62%	10	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
10	CLARK SWCD	\$222,905.00	\$204,318.82	91.66%	27	\$140,015.79	62.81%	19	\$0.00
. 9	CLAY SWCD	\$86,447.00	\$59,285.57	68.58%	8	\$32,123.72	37.16%	6	\$0.00
· 84	CLINTON SWCD	\$317,033.00	\$316,443.75	99.81%	43	\$198,993.96	62.77%	27	\$0.00
107	COLE SWCD	\$130,257.00	\$82,490.83	63.33%	19	\$23,607.64	18.12%	7	\$2,530.27
103	COOPER SWCD	\$163,399.19	\$61,870.79	37.86%	10	\$7,817.69	4.78%	2	\$0.00
113	CRAWFORD SWCD	\$25,000.00	\$15,343.40	61.37%	6	\$5,264.71	21.06%	2	\$0.00
35	DADE SWCD	\$86,027.00	\$56,074.16	65.18%	17	\$50,339.20	58.52%	13	\$4,015.03
91	DALLAS SWCD	\$17,152.00	\$9,657.25	56.30%	4	\$6,711.55	39.13%	2	\$0.00
8	DAVIESS SWCD	\$319,131.00	\$297,294.71	93.16%	32	\$219,782.53	68.87%	22	\$0.00
7	DEKALB SWCD	\$321,787.00	\$244,481.80	75.98%	18	\$197,534.16	61.39%	14	\$0.00
41	DENT SWCD	\$81,050.00	\$34,118.47	42.10%	5	\$29,395.98	36.27%	2	\$9,370.97
94	DOUGLAS SWCD	\$17,000.00	\$11,347.47	66.75%	3	\$1,212.60	7.13%	1 -	
22	DUNKLIN SWCD	\$105,093.00	\$104,355.73	99.30%	102	\$74,240.89	70.64%	22	\$118,834.50
2	FRANKLIN SWCD.	\$86,670.00	\$51,494.37	59.41%	16	\$21,716.14	25.06%	6	\$0.00
62	GASCONADE SWCD	\$49,946.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
14	GENTRY SWCD	\$286,796.00	\$210,309.31	73.33%	34	\$105,813.99	36.90%	19	\$26,116.72
90	GREENE SWCD	\$18,797.00	\$11,900.97	63.31%	9	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
. 81	GRUNDY SWCD	\$227,343.00	\$190,424.84	83.76%	31	\$64,548.25	28.39%	11	\$0.00
1	HARRISON SWCD	\$416,710.00	\$327,907.46	78.69%	48	\$233,920.48	56.14%	31	\$27,148.37
. 48	HENRY SWCD	\$104,530.00	\$83,691.62	80.06%	11	\$21,415.50	20.49%	4	\$0.00
88	HICKORY SWCD	\$143,151.00	\$126,663.30	88.48%	15	\$91,631.15	64.01%	11	\$0.00
-106	HOLT SWCD	\$367,043.00	\$343,562.61	93.60%	48	\$221,535.67	60.36%	27	\$16,934.25
85	HOWARD SWCD	\$160,263.00	\$93,114.58	58.10%	8	\$49,651.53	30.98%	3	\$13,332.62
82	HOWELL SWCD	\$22,000.00	\$4,584.32	20.84%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$269.70

	District	<u>Allocated</u>	Obligated	%Obligated	<u>#</u> Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
FY: 20	012 Fund Code:R Pi	roject:SGE - SHEET AND RIL	L / GULLY ERC	SION					
101	IRON-SWCD	\$34,050.00	\$21,939.03	64.43%	4	\$9,368.30	27.51%	1	-\$3,878.02
102	JACKSON SWCD	\$139,734.00	\$70,920.88	50.75%	16	\$43,308.94	30.99%	9	\$28,677.97
58	JASPER SWCD	\$84,057.00	\$66,094.48	78.63%	41	\$27,088.96	32.23%	12	\$4,218.10
112	JEFFERSON SWCD	\$28,714.00	\$2,933.94	10.22%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
11	JOHNSON SWCD	\$247,043.00	\$148,096.13	59.95%	38	\$76,645.11	31.03%	21	\$49,359.76
59	KNOX SWCD	\$254,477.00	\$254,476.47	100.00%	28	\$178,334.68	70.08%	19	\$0.00
73	LACLEDE SWCD	\$15,000.00	\$10,306.39	68.71%	6	\$2,830.92	18.87%	1	\$0.00
21	LAFAYETTE SWCD	\$359,930.00	\$305,337.70	84.83%	68	\$134,389.98	37.34%	32	\$19,967.01
51	LAWRENCE SWCD	\$29,895.00	\$12,075.94	40.39%	7	\$4,865.50	16.28%	4	\$0.00
	LEWIS SWCD	\$209,040.00	\$209,030.87	100.00%	25	\$137,762.87	65.90%	15	\$39,048.67
	LINCOLN SWCD	\$123,202.86	\$88,315.22	71.68%	16	\$34,602.17	28.09%	7.	\$0.00
	LINN SWCD	\$270,599.00	\$167,815.75	62.02%	16	\$115,224.49	42.58%	10	\$15,000.00
	LIVINGSTON SWCD	\$300,903.00	\$170,865.17	56.78%	22	\$122,575.83	40.74%	12	\$14,568.45
	MACON SWCD	\$211,811.00	\$207,151.76	97.80%	18	\$85,622.49	40.42%	7	\$0.00
	MADISON SWCD	\$124,058.00	\$80,370.82	64.78%	13	\$35,790.02	28.85%	8	\$0.00
	MARIES SWCD	\$106,095.00	\$99,552.52	93.83%	37	\$4,900.88	4.62%	1	\$0.00
	MARION SWCD	\$170,350.00	\$134,239.29	78.80%	28	\$78,116.03	45.86%	14	\$0.00
	MCDONALD SWCD	\$9,000.00	\$2,704.90	30.05%	2	\$2,704.90	30.05%	2	\$0.00
	MERCER SWCD	\$163,426.00	\$141,277.18	86.45%	15	\$114,413.45	70.01%	12	\$0.00
	MILLER SWCD	\$16,702.00	\$0.00	0.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$6,179.41
44	MISSISSIPPI SWCD	\$225,292.32	\$102,088.78	45.31%	55	\$33,244.40	14.76%	15	\$0.00
105	MONITEAU SWCD	\$160,303.00	\$100,881.51	62.93%	23	\$63,697.68	39.74%	15	\$10,005.98
_	MONROE SWCD	\$318,890.00	\$318,080.87	99.75%	50	\$278,617.88	87.37%	44	\$2,909.74
30	MONTGOMERY SWCI	D \$265,525.00	\$265,525.00	100.00%	45	\$222,117.54	83.65%	30	\$49,491.32
111	MORGAN SWCD	\$46,892.00	\$27,222.78	58.05%	5	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
32	NEW MADRID SWCD	\$334,567.49	\$203,363.82	60.78%	100	\$80,747.26	24.13%	39	\$4,018.65
40	•	\$23,579.00	\$3,578.70	15.18%	2	\$3,578.70	15.18%	2	\$0.00
19	NODAWAY SWCD	\$632,982.00	\$584,775.51	92.38%	86	\$415,915.33	65.71%	63	\$0.00
	OREGON SWCD	\$100,000.00	\$85,417.54	85.42%	45	\$36,391.34	36.39%	15	\$0.00
	OSAGE SWCD	\$114,556.00	\$77,720.47	67.84%	18	\$11,633.62	10.16%	2	\$0.00
97	OZARK SWCD	\$117,938.00	\$100,155.44	84.92%	26	\$58,934.03	49.97%	15	\$0.00
15	PEMISCOT SWCD	\$171,664.00	\$135,756.89	79.08%	84	\$54,298.73	31.63%	39	\$0.00
64	PERRY SWCD	\$96,695.00	\$38,704.94	40.03%	15	\$24,083.86	24.91%	10	\$0.00
98	PETTIS SWCD	\$339,494.00	\$337,424.94	99.39%	43	\$322,424.94	94.97%	42	\$0.00
110	PHELPS SWCD	\$25,000.00	\$11,303.97	45.22%	6	\$11,303.97	45.22%	5	\$1,964.05

					<u>#</u>	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
	<u>District</u>	<u>Allocated</u>	<u>Obligated</u>	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	Pending
FY: 2	012 Fund Code:R Project:SC	GE - SHEET AND RI	LL/GULLY ERO	OSION			•		
18	PIKE SWCD	\$181,714.00	\$118,449.00	65.18%	15	\$94,148.82	51.81%	9	\$8,168.06
99	PLATTE SWCD	\$122,472.00	\$99,286.71	81.07%	22	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$959.92
67	POLK SWCD	\$20,000.00	\$7,650.88	38.25%	5	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00
61	PULASKI SWCD	\$45,368.00	\$30,499.99	67.23%	16	\$14,637.18	32.26%	5	\$0.00
52	PUTNAM SWCD	\$199,621.00	\$124,464.10	62.35%	40	\$63,632.82	31.88%	7	\$26,373.16
23	RALLS SWCD	\$88,096.00	\$60,528.07	68.71%	11	\$49,749.51	56.47%	8	\$0.00
76	RANDOLPH SWCD	\$176,047.00	\$103,048.27	58.53%	17	\$49,308.05	28.01%	5	\$15,206.99
17	RAY SWCD	\$268,731.00	\$236,968.37	88.18%	27	\$145,480.99	54.14%	16	\$0.00
25	REYNOLDS SWCD	\$11,718.00	\$6,073.38	51.83%	4	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
37	RIPLEY SWCD	\$87,846.00	\$46,869.14	53.35%	17	\$28,954.94	32.96%	10	\$0.00
100	SALINE SWCD	\$676,233.00	\$657,788.62	97.27%	104	\$185,762.65	27.47%	30	\$4,690.27
42	SCHUYLER SWCD	\$184,758.00	\$157,476.38	85.23%	22	\$125,816.90	68.10%	14	\$12,423.09
16	SCOTLAND SWCD	\$363,468.00	\$359,248.62	98.84%	40	\$270,680.10	74.47%	30	\$0.00
53	SCOTT SWCD	\$129,080.00	\$122,379.03	94.81%	37	\$69,827.08	54.10%	18	\$0.00
49	SHANNON SWCD	\$29,300.00	\$12,986.06	44.32%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
6	SHELBY SWCD	\$219,073.00	\$183,361.11	83.70%	22	\$153,471.34	70.05%	19	\$0.00
4	ST. CHARLES SWCD	\$36,000.00	\$7,588.08	21.08%	5	\$6,709.24	18.64%	3	\$864.00
63	ST. CLAIR SWCD	\$77,185.00	\$32,218.64	41.74%	17	\$22,327.60	28.93%	11	\$12,408.79
92	ST. FRANCOIS SWCD	\$33,260.00	\$11,999.22	36.08%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
83	STE. GENEVIEVE SWCD	\$122,823.00	\$112,155.93	91.32%	35	\$21,971.98	17.89%	9	\$4,131.04
33	STODDARD SWCD	\$191,627.00	\$147,598.97	77.02%	61	\$91,496.57	47.75%	40	-\$2,535.64
65	STONE SWCD	\$25,364.00	\$5,047.88	19.90%	3	\$5,047.88	19.90%	1	\$8,593.94
69	SULLIVAN SWCD	\$217,669.00	\$208,142.97	95.62%	28	\$145,890.42	67.02%	18	\$0.00
68	TANEY SWCD	\$19,092.00	\$7,735.17	40.52%	4	\$7,735.17	40.52%	3	\$376.59
34	TEXAS SWCD	\$69,274.00	\$67,700.20	97.73%	19	\$32,609.90	47.07%	8	\$0.00
39	VERNON-SWCD	\$171,978.00	\$110,867.57	64.47%	29	\$102,109.17	59.37%	22	\$10,900.75
55	WARREN SWCD	\$77,314.00	\$47,865.42	61.91%	10	\$47,865.42	61.91%	8	\$24,466.42
114	WASHINGTON SWCD	\$27,500.00	\$10,000.00	36.36%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
28	WAYNE SWCD	\$98,036.00	\$20,000.00	20.40%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
77	WEBSTER SWCD	\$20,743.00	\$12,750.34	61.47%	7	\$6,387.95	30.80%	3	\$0.00
5	WORTH SWCD	\$166,370.00	\$117,951.88	70.90%	17	\$96,246.14	57.85%	13	\$0.00
54	WRIGHT SWCD	\$54,399.00	\$29,316.88	53.89%	16	\$6,802.06	12.50%	2	\$0.00
	<u> </u>	\$17,916,956.71	\$13,679,546.83	76.35%	2759	\$8,094,110.48	45.18%	1427	\$707,811.45

FY: 2012 Fund Code: R Project: WE - WOODLAND EROSION

	: •				<u>#</u>	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
<u>I</u>	<u>District</u>	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	Pending
FY: 2012	Fund Code: R Pro	oject:WE - WOODLAND ERG	OSION						
56 AD	DAIR SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
47 BA	ARRY SWCD	\$6,750.00	\$6,750.00	100.00%	2	\$2,872.12	42.55%	1	\$0.00
71 BA	TES SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0,00
95 BE	ENTON SWCD	\$2,246.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
72 BO	LLINGER SWCD	\$30,125.00	\$7,374.13	24.48%	2	\$7,374.13	24.48%	2	\$0.00
13 BO	OONE SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
46 BU	JTLER SWCD	\$20,000.00	\$17,945.12	89.73%	4	\$7,388.25	36.94%	2	\$0.00
26 CA	LDWELL SWCD	\$1,150.00	\$0.00	0.00%	• 0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
3 CA	LLAWAY SWCD	\$4,500.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0.	\$0.00
89 CA	MDEN SWCD	\$4,494.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
12 CA	PE GIRARDEAU SW	CD \$4,494.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0.	\$0.00
45 CA	ARTER SWCD	\$12,636.00	\$1,736.49	13.74%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
70 CA	SS SWCD	\$10,568.00	\$3,571.91	33.80%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
57 CE	DAR SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$1,334.84	33.37%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
86 CH	IRISTIAN SWCD	\$25,580.00	\$12,229.50	47.81%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	. 0	-\$4,429.42
9 CL.	AY SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
107 CO	DLE SWCD	\$24,494.00	\$4,111.42	16.79%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
103 CO	OOPER SWCD	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	. 0	\$0.00
113 CR	LAWFORD SWCD	\$35,000.00	\$23,360.72	66.74%	6	\$2,947.97	8.42%	1	\$0.00
35 DA	ADE SWCD	\$11,200.00	\$6,183.52	55.21%	2	\$1,200.00	10.71%	1	\$0.00
9j DA	ALLAS SWCD	\$14,000.00	\$10,905.93	77.90%	. 8	\$1,424.95	10.18%	. 2	\$3,092.50
7 DE	EKALB SWCD	\$1,875.00	\$0.00	0.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$1,451.61
41 DE	ENT SWCD	\$36,585.00	\$34,437.87	94.13%	6	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$2,771.87
94 DO	OUGLAS SWCD	\$45,114.00	\$32,266.42	71.52%	8	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$12,263.75
22 DU	JNKLIN SWCD	\$7,126.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0 .	\$0.00	0.00%	. 0	\$0.00
2 FR	ANKLIN SWCD	\$4,494.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
62 GA	ASCONADE SWCD	\$24,494.00	\$11,789.02	48.13%	3	\$4,221.00	17.23%	1	\$0.00
90 - GR	REENE SWCD	\$17,513.00	\$5,458.49	31.17%	3 .	\$0.00	0.00%	. 0	\$9,036.2 5
1 HA	ARRISON SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
88 HIC	CKORY SWCD	\$14,000.00	\$9,352.23	66.80%	4	\$4,092.96	29.24%	2	\$0.00
85 HO)WARD SWCD	\$1,425.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	OWELL SWCD	\$36,164.00	\$17,466.62	48.30%	6	\$10,062.91	27.83%	3	\$12,273.20
	ON SWCD	\$10,248.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	. 0	\$0.00
	CKSON SWCD	\$700.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
58 JAS	SPER SWCD	\$24,495.00	\$4,398.91	17.96%	3	\$3,338.17	13.63%	1	\$2,139.34
								÷	*

				<u>#</u>	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
<u>District</u>	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	Payments	Pending
FY: 2012 Fund Code: R	R Project:WE - WOODLAND E	ROSION						
112 JEFFERSON SWC	•	\$2,698.67	23.80%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0 ·	\$0.00
11 JOHNSON SWCD		\$1,249.50	27.80%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	. 0	\$0.00
59 KNOX SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
73 LACLEDE SWCD		\$3,919.50	27.04%	1	\$3,919.50	27.04%	1	\$0.00
51 LAWRENCE SWC		\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
87 MADISON SWCD	\$9,195.00	\$3,685.00	40.08%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
75 MARIES SWCD	\$36,165.00	\$19,479.58	53.86%	.12	\$4,977.92	13.76%	3	\$9,827.32
27 MCDONALD SWO	CD \$20,494.00	\$4,494.00	21.93%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0 -	\$6,159.50
80 MERCER SWCD	\$14,000.00	\$3,993.03	28.52%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
96 MILLER SWCD	\$18,900.00	\$6,403.90	33.88%	5	\$4,454.78	23.57%	2 .	\$3,922.25
105 MONITEAU SWC	D \$10,001.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
60 MONROE SWCD	\$224.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0 4	\$0.00
30 MONTGOMERY S	SWCD \$1,500.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
111 MORGAN SWCD	\$14,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$1,152.29
40 NEWTON SWCD	\$14,000.00	\$4,000.00	28.57%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$4,047.45
66 OREGON SWCD	\$31,025.00	\$23,639.09	76.19%	7	\$2,805.36	9.04%	1	\$0.00
93 OSAGE SWCD	\$31,340.00	\$22,610.67	72.15%	11	\$1,968.75	6.28%	2	\$5,070.71
97 OZARK SWCD	\$15,494.00	\$13,258.61	85.57%	5	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$4,812.75
110 PHELPS SWCD	\$35,750.00	\$27,151.22	75.95%	5	\$10,730.41	30.02%	2	\$3,027.37
18 PIKE SWCD	\$14,000.00	\$3,479.15	24.85%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
67 POLK SWCD	\$14,367.00	\$3,867.00	26.92%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
61 PULASKI SWCD	\$14,494.00	\$7,026.94	48.48%	3	\$3,012.18	20.78%	2	\$0.00
52 PUTNAM SWCD	\$4,588.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
76 RANDOLPH SWC	SD \$14,280.00	\$2,335.59	16.36%	2	\$2,335.59	16.36%	1	\$1,164.37
17 RAY SWCD	\$2,960.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
25 REYNOLDS SWC	D \$9,505.00	\$1,707.75	17.97%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$1,093.50
37 RIPLEY SWCD	\$27,001.00	\$12,197.08	45.17%	10	\$1,484.58	5.50%	1	\$2,972.25
16 SCOTLAND SWC	D \$2,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
53 SCOTT SWCD	\$2,100.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
49 SHANNON SWCD	,	\$0.00	0.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$2,837.32
4 ST. CHARLES SW	40,00000	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
63 ST. CLAIR SWCD	4-7 0-000	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
92 ST. FRANCOIS SV	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	$\boldsymbol{0.00\%}$	0	\$0.00
83 STE. GENEVIEVE	400,00000	\$29,791.83	87.99%	11	\$1,958.59	5.78%	2	\$0.00
33 STODDARD SWC	SD \$4,000.00	\$2,999.57	74.99%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00

7:41:43AM

Page 15 of 22

					<u>#</u>	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	r
<u>District</u>		Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	<u>Contracts</u>	Payment	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	Pending
FY: 2012 Fund Code:R	Project:WE	- WOODLAND ERG	OSION						
65 STONE SWCD		\$6,480.00	\$3,878.15	59.85%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
68 TANEY SWCD		\$24,885.00	\$15,731.52	63.22%	5	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$2,892.30
34 TEXAS SWCD		\$29,450.00	\$29,450.00	100.00%	8	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
39 VERNON SWCD		\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
55 WARREN SWCD		\$14,000.00	\$4,000.00	28.57%	2	\$604,67	4.32%	1	\$0.00
114 WASHINGTON SWC	D	\$25,819.00	\$2,381.25	9.22%	3	\$2,381.25	9.22%	1	\$9,168.88
28 WAYNE SWCD		\$5,981.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
77 WEBSTER SWCD	•	\$4,494.00	\$0.00	0.00%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$4,494.00
54 WRIGHT SWCD	<u> </u>	\$48,067.00	\$26,284.46	54.68%	8	\$3,668.44	7.63%	2	\$0.00
		\$1,074,081.00	\$492,386.20	45.84%	192	\$89,224.48	8.31%	37	\$101,241.36
Subtota	for R	\$27,515,479.03	\$19,030,227.55	69.16%	4820	\$9,917,403.86	36.04%	2253	\$1,438,211.89
	_			_	_	<u> </u>		-	
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:BI	OSP-31 - BUFFER SI	NKHOLE IMP						
64 PERRY SWCD		\$26,700.00	\$1,200.00	4.49%	4	\$1,200.00	4.49%	4	\$0.00
83 STE. GENEVIEVE SV	<i>W</i> CD	\$2,100.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
,		\$28,800.00	\$1,200.00	4.17%	4	\$1,200.00	4.17%	4	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Dugioot: CN	1048 - DRY AUGLAI	710						
73 LACLEDE SWCD	rroject.si	\$0.00 \$0.00		0.0007	0	£0.00	0.000/	0	£0.00
				0.00%	$\frac{0}{0}$	\$0.00 \$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0076	U	20.00	0.00%	U	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project CN	NO51 - LOWER BIG	MADIFC DIVED						*
93 OSAGE SWCD	1 Toject.Si	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	<u> </u>	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%		\$0.00
		\$0.00	30.00	0.00 /6	U	\$ 0. 00	U.UU 70	U	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project: SN	054 - CHARETTE C	DEEK						
55 WARREN SWCD	1 Toject.Si	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	٥	£0.00
_ 33 WARREN 3WCB			\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00			\$0.00
		\$0.00	JU.UU	0.00 /0	U	JU.UU	0.00%	U	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Droinot-CN	JOSS DI AT COPEDI							
47 BARRY SWCD	rroject:SN	1055 - FLAT CREEK		100 0007	•	010 - 43 3 -	100 000	_	
4/ DAKKY SWUD		\$18,542.25	\$18,542.25	100.00%	2	\$18,542.25	100.00%	2	\$0.00

	· ·							
				<u>#</u>	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
<u>District</u>	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	Payments	<u>Pending</u>
-	\$18,542.25	\$18,542.25	100.00%	2	\$18,542.25	100.00%	2	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN056 - MUSSEL FORK			•				
79 CHARITON SWCD	\$7,494.45	\$7,494.45	100.00%	1	\$7,494.45	100.00%	1	ም ለ ሰላ
79 CHARTONSWED	\$7,494.45	\$7,494.45 \$7,494.45	100.00%	11	\$7,494.45 \$7,494.45	100.00%		\$0.00 \$0.00
	\$7,494.45	Φ7,494.43	100.00 /0	•	\$7, 494.4 5	100.00%	1	\$0.0
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN057 - UPPER MONIT	EAU CREEK						
103 COOPER SWCD	\$22,965.20	\$22,965.20	100.00%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.0
	\$22,965.20	\$22,965.20	100.00%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.0
					;	100		
	Project:SN060 - LITTLE CHARI						,	
85 HOWARD SWCD	\$20,062.65	\$20,062.65	100.00%	1	\$20,062.65	100.00%	1	<u>\$0.0</u>
	\$20,062.65	\$20,062.65	100.00%	. 1	\$20,062.65	100.00%	1	\$0.0
Y: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN061 - UPPER TAVER	N CREEK					1	
96 MILLER SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.0
,	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.0
•								
	Project:SN062 - LOWER MONIT	ΓEAU CREEK						
105 MONITEAU SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.0
•	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.0
ENV AAAA EN JOHN ON	D CNAC2 - MUDDY CDEEL	*7 **						
FY: 2012 Fund Code: SN 98 PETTIS SWCD	Project:SN063 - MUDDY CREEI		00.040/	_	00.040.00	00.0407		20.0
98 PETTIS SWCD	\$9,248.08	\$9,242.32 \$9,242.32	99.94%		\$9,242.32 \$9,242.32	99.94%		\$0.0
	\$9,248.08	39,242.32	99.94%	1	39,242.32	99.94%	1	\$0.0
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN064 - HOMINY CREE	E K						
67 POLK SWCD	\$4,404.86	\$4,404.86	100.00%	3	\$2,941.86	66.79%	2	\$0.0
	\$4,404.86	\$4,404.86	100.00%	3	\$2,941.86	66.79%		\$0.0
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN068 - LITTLE NORTI	H FORK SPRII	NG CREEK					
38 BARTON SWCD	\$70,000.00	\$32,762.07	46.80%	20	\$15,040.72	21.49%	10	\$0.0
	\$70,000.00	\$32,762.07	46.80%	20	\$15,040.72	21.49%	10	\$0.00

				<u>#</u>	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
<u>District</u>	Allocated	<u>Obligated</u>	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	<u>Pending</u>
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN070 - SHOAL CREEK	•		-	•			
26 CALDWELL SWCD	\$45,000.00	\$22,424.68	49.83%	2	\$22,424.68	49.83%	1	\$0.00
	\$45,000.00	\$22,424.68	49.83%	2	\$22,424.68	49.83%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	3		•					
29 CARROLL SWCD	\$69,081.00	\$33,797.00	48.92%	6	\$11,623.10	16.83%	1	\$0.00
	\$69,081.00	\$33,797.00	48.92%	6	\$11,623.10	16.83%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN072 - GREASY CREE	K					•	,
91 DALLAS SWCD	\$70,546.68	\$9,585.00	13.59%	8	\$900.00	1.28%	1	\$0.00
	\$70,546.68	\$9,585.00	13.59%	8	\$900.00	1.28%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN074 - LITTLE MARIE	S RIVER						
75 MARIES SWCD	\$55,000.00	\$41,187.73	74.89%	9	\$26,112.02	47.48%	5	\$0.00
	\$55,000.00	\$41,187.73	74.89%	9	\$26,112.02	47.48%	5	\$0.00
•	,							
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN075 - INDIAN CREEK	K						
27 MCDONALD SWCI	\$63,440.00	\$55,716.58	87.83%	6	\$19,391.07	30.57%	3	\$0.00
	\$63,440.00	\$55,716.58	87.83%	6	\$19,391.07	30.57%	3	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN076 - MUDDY CREEK	ζ.						
80 MERCER SWCD	\$80,000.00	\$9,143.75	11.43%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	\$80,000.00	\$9,143.75	11.43%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
EV. 2012 Fund Codo(SN	Project:SN077 - BEAR/BRUSH C	ากละเย						
30 MONTGOMERY SV		\$29,984.43	99.95%	6	\$21,716.13	72.39%	4	\$0.00
	\$30,000.17	\$29,984.43	99.95%	6	\$21,716.13	72.39%	4	\$0.00
	Ψ2 0,0 0 002 .	, _ , ,			, ,	. = 189 7 (·	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN079 - RAMSEY CREE	c K	•					
53 SCOTT SWCD	\$40,000.00	\$25,351.11	63.38%	4	\$15,351.11	38.38%	3	\$0.00
	\$40,000.00	\$25,351.11	63.38%	4	\$15,351.11	38.38%	3	\$0.00
1037. 2012 - F - 1 C - 3 - CN	Duelant CNION OF STATE	,						
FY: 2012 Fund Code: SN	•		70.010 /		017.730.35	72.4007	,	40.00
39 VERNON SWCD	\$50,000.00	\$35,003.38	70.01%	9	\$16,638.27	33.28%	6	\$0.00

				<u>#</u>	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
<u>District</u>	<u>Allocated</u>	Obligated	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	Payment	Payments	<u>Pending</u>
	\$50,000.00	\$35,003.38	70.01%	9	\$16,638.27	33.28%	6	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN082 - WOODS FORI	K/GASCONADE	RIVER					
54 WRIGHT SWCD	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	100.00%	2	\$1,035.00	2.07%	1	\$0.00
	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	100.00%	2	\$1,035.00	2.07%	1 ·	\$0.00
Y: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN083 - LOWER COL	F CAMP						٠
95 BENTON SWCD	\$87,000.00	\$13,881.00	15.96%	7	\$11,552.00	13.28%		\$0.00
93 BENTON SWED	\$87,000.00	\$13,881.00	15.96%	<u>7</u> 	\$11,552.00	13.28%	6	\$0.0
	\$87,000.00	\$13,001.00	13.90 /0	,	\$11,552.00	13.2670	U	\$0.00
Y: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN084 - SHOAL CREE	EK						
84 CLINTON SWCD	\$60,000.00	\$59,713.55	99.52%	6	\$36,807.99	61.35%	4 *	\$0.0
	\$60,000.00	\$59,713.55	99.52%	6	\$36,807.99	61.35%	4 ' "	\$0.0
Y: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN085 - GRAYS CREE	ek .				,		:
107 COLE SWCD	\$85,000.00	\$14,598.00	17.17%	9	\$1,848.00	2.17%	1	\$13,168.7
	\$85,000.00	\$14,598.00	17.17%	9	\$1,848.00	2.17%	1	\$13,168.7
Y: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN086 - MIDDLE FOR	EK SALT RÍVER	1					
43 MACON SWCD	\$83,000.00	\$73,925.40	89.07%	5	\$8,240.74	9.93%	1	\$0.00
,3	\$83,000.00	\$73,925.40	89.07%	5	\$8,240.74	9.93%	1	\$0.0
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN087 - LOWER LOU	TDF						
30 MONTGOMERY SW	•	\$68,820.30	90.79%	12	\$14,429.40	19.04%	2	\$6,060.00
50 1,101.1,001.1,211.0	\$75,800.00	\$68,820.30	90.79%	12	\$14,429.40	19.04%	2	\$6,060.0
Y: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN088 - LITTLE MAR	IEC CDEEL						
93 OSAGE SWCD	\$48,000.00		3.40%	1	¢1 (22 (2	2.400/		e2 072 0
93 OSAGE SWCD	\$48,000.00	\$1,633.62 \$1,633.62	3.40%	3 3	\$1,633.62 \$1,633.62	3.40%	l	\$3,972.98 \$3,972.98
•	\$40,000.00	\$1,033.02	3.40 / 0	3	91,033.02	3.40%	1	, \$3,972.90
Y: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN089 - PEMISCOT C	•						
15 PEMISCOT SWCD	\$78,500.00	\$46,951.72	59.81%	26	\$17,144.36	21.84%	10	\$0.0
	\$78,500.00	\$46,951.72	59.81%	26	\$17,144.36	21.84%	10	\$0.00

· :	•			<u>#</u>	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
<u>District</u>	Allocated	Obligated	<u>%Obligated</u>	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	Pending
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN090 - SALT FORK CF	REEK				•		
100 SALINE SWCD	\$65,000.00	\$48,766.30	75.03%	11	\$11,461.72	17.63%	2	\$9,906.78
-	\$65,000.00	\$48,766.30	75.03%	11	\$11,461.72	17.63%	2	\$9,906.78
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN091 - ST. JOHN'S BA'	VOII.		•		•		
53 SCOTT SWCD	\$56,000.00	\$13,952.29	24.91%	4	\$12,465.75	22.26%		\$6,392.85
	\$56,000.00	\$13,952.29	24.91%	- 4	\$12,465.75	22.26%	1	\$6,392.85
	\$30,000.00	<i>4.0,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2.0,2,0	·	\$12,10011C	22.20 70		40,572.05
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN092 - BESS SLOUGH		:					
33 STODDARD SWCD	\$85,000.00	\$70,500.04	82.94%	9	\$70,500.04	82.94%	7	\$6,886.86
	\$85,000.00	\$70,500.04	82.94%	9	\$70,500.04	82.94%	7 ,	\$6,886.86
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN093 - HURRICANE C			VATED ·				
72 BOLLINGER SWCD	\$72,000.00	\$47,321.74	65.72%	VAIER 11	\$40,595.58	56.38%	7	\$3,493.12
72 BOLLINGER SWCD	\$72,000.00	\$47,321.74	65.72%	11	\$40,595.58	56.38%		\$3,493.12
,			•					,
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN094 - BYRD CREEK						•	
12 CAPE GIRARDEAU	SWCD\$122,483.00	\$52,557.26	42.91%	26	\$28,099.03	22.94%	14	\$0.00
	\$122,483.00	\$52,557.26	42.91%	26	\$28,099.03	22.94%	14	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN095 - UPPER BIG CR	EEK						
70 CASS SWCD	\$100,000.00	\$34,217.00	34.22%	43	\$349.00	0.35%	1	\$666.00
	\$100,000.00	\$34,217.00	34.22%	43	\$349.00	0.35%	1	\$666.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN096 - CROWLEY'S R	IDCE				•	v.	
FY: 2012 Fund Code: SN 22 DUNKLIN SWCD	\$68,155.00	\$5,379.42	7.89%	4	\$2,725.40	4.00%	1	\$0.00
ZZDONREIN SWED	\$68,155.00	\$5,379.42	7.89%	4	\$2,725.40	4.00%	<u>1</u> 1	\$0.00 \$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN097 - PEARSON CRE		•					•
90 GREENE SWCD	\$59,078.00	\$11,599.69	19.63%	12	\$8,015.00	13.57%	9	\$0.00
	\$59,078.00	\$11,599.69	19.63%	12	\$8,015.00	13.57%	9	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN098 - WARM FORK (OF SPRING RI	IVER					
66 OREGON SWCD	\$76,450.00	\$39,058.10	51.09%	93	\$27,823.15	36.39%	68	\$22,278.37
		•						

District	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	<u>Contract</u> Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Dandina
District				_===				<u>Pending</u>
	\$76,450.00	\$39,058.10	51.09%	93	\$27,823.15	36.39%	68	\$22,278.37
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN099 - HEATHS CR	EEK				÷ .		
98 PETTIS SWCD	\$88,500.00	\$82,306.68	93.00%	10	\$43,888.81	49.59%	7	\$0.00
	\$88,500.00	\$82,306.68	93.00%	10	\$43,888.81	49.59%	7	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN100 - ELK FORK S	SALT RIVER & C	COON CREEK					
76 RANDOLPH SWCD	\$55,000.00	\$19,630.76	35.69%	4	\$15,590.25	28.35%	3	\$0.00
	\$55,000.00	\$19,630.76	35.69%	4	\$15,590.25	28.35%	3	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN101 - CROOKED I	RIVER					1 :	
17 RAY SWCD	\$79,000.00	\$77,628.26	98.26%	12	\$63,974.37	80.98%	8	* \$0.00
	\$79,000.00	\$77,628.26	98.26%	12	\$63,974.37	80.98%	8	\$0.00
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN102 - SOUTH WYA	CONDA						
16 SCOTLAND SWCD	\$80,000.00	\$71,943.13	89.93%	13	\$53,634.82	67.04%	. 11	\$55.53
	\$80,000.00	\$71,943.13	89.93%	13	\$53,634.82	67.04%	11	\$55.53
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project; SN103 - LOWER HEA	ADWATERS OF J	JAMES RIVER			,		
77 WEBSTER SWCD	\$73,740.00	\$42,943.63	58.24%	22_	\$11,548.48	15.66%	5	\$21,090.43
	\$73,740.00	\$42,943.63	58.24%	22	\$11,548.48	15.66%	5	\$21,090.43
FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN	Project:SN104 - CLARK / WO	OLF CREEK						
54 · WRIGHT SWCD	\$70,000.00	\$4,050.00	5.79%	<u>. 5</u>	\$3,850.00	5.50%	. 4	\$0.00
	\$70,000.00	\$4,050.00	5.79%	5	\$3,850.00	5.50%	4	\$0.00
Subtotal f	for SN \$2,402,291.34	\$1,330,245.35	55.37%	435	\$695,893.14	28.97%	219	\$93,971.69

Master Fund Status Summary (2012)

Subtotal for R	\$27,515,479.03	\$19,030,227.55	69.16%	4820	\$9,917,403.86	36.04%	2253	\$1,438,211.89
Subtotal for SN	\$2,402,291.34	\$1,330,245.35	55.37%	435	\$695,893.14	28.97%	219	\$93,971.69
Report Totals	\$29,917,770.37	\$20,360,472.90	68.05%	. 5255	\$10,613,297.00	35.47%	2472	\$1,532,183.58

r	District		Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	<u>#</u> Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project A	WM - ANIMAL WAS	 _			<u> </u>	<u> ,</u>	<u> </u>	rename
<u>F1.2012</u>	Tunu Couc.K	TrojectiA	\$845,000.00	\$354,543.05	41.96%	28	\$140,476.75	16.62%	6	\$162,476.82
			,	·			·			,
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:G	M - GRAZING MAN	AGEMENT						
			\$3,559,768.70	\$2,183,269.69	61.33%	780	\$688,580.29	19.34%	245	\$346,775.18
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:IN	1 - IRRIGATION MA	ANAGEMENT						
			\$1,129,236.41	\$639,046.10	56.59%	137	\$195,240.10	17.29%	40	\$5,279.03
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:N	M - NUTRIENT MA							
			\$16,141.49	\$16,141.49	100.00%	10	\$11,641.49	72.12%	8	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:N	P - NUTRIENT & PE							
			\$742,573.89	\$731,089.39	98.45%	611	\$371,150.83	49.98%	368	-\$6,535.70
<u>F</u> Y: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:SA	A - SENSITIVE ARE							
			\$2,231,720.83	\$934,204.80	41.86%	303	\$326,979.44	14.65%	122	\$121,163.75
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:SC	GE - SHEET AND RI							
			\$17,916,956.71	\$13,679,546.83	76.35%	2759	\$8,094,110.48	45.18%	1427	\$707,811.45
FY: 2012	Fund Code:R	Project:W	E - WOODLAND EI							
			\$1,074,081.00	\$492,386.20	45.84%	192	\$89,224.48	8.31%	37	\$101,241.36
	Subtota	al for R	\$27,515,479.03	\$19,030,227.55	69.16%	4820	\$9,917,403.86	36.04%	2253	\$1,438,211.89
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:I	BDSP-31 - BUFFER S			=				
			\$28,800.00	\$1,200.00	4.17%	4	\$1,200.00	4.17%	4	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code: SN	Project:	SN048 - DRY AUGLA							
			\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:S	SN051 - LOWER BIO	G MARIES RIVER	t					

			0	4.48	#	Contract	% Contract	# Contract	
<u>I</u>	<u> District</u>	<u>Allocated</u>	Obligated	%Obligated	Contracts	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	Pending
		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN054 - CHARETTE C	REEK						
		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN055 - FLAT CREEK							
F 1: 2012	Fullu Code:Siv	\$18,542.25	\$18,542.25	100.00%		\$18,542.25	100.00%		\$0.00
		\$10,372.23	\$10,572.25	100.00 70	2	\$10,572.25	100.00 /6	2	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN056 - MUSSEL FOR	K						
<u> </u>		\$7,494.45	\$7,494.45	100.00%	1	\$7,494.45	100.00%	1	\$0.00
EV. 2012	Earl Code CN	DesignationIOST TINDED MONIT	TEAU CDEEK						
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project: SN057 - UPPER MONI \$22,965.20	\$22,965.20	100.00%		\$0.00	0.00%		\$0.00
•		<i>\$22,763.20</i>	421,700.1 0	100.0070	2	00.00	0.00 / 0	v	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN060 - LITTLE CHAI	RITON RIVER						
		\$20,062.65	\$20,062.65	100.00%	1	\$20,062.65	100.00%	1	\$0.00
EV. 2012	Evend CodesCN	Due: anti-ONIGG1 LIDDED TAVE	DN CDEEL						
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN061 - UPPER TAVE \$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%		\$0.00	0.00%		
		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00 /6	Ü	50.00	0.00 /0	U	30.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN062 - LOWER MON	ITEAU CREEK						
		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
EW 2012	E ICION	D CNOCC - NOUDDN CDD	m r z						
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN063 - MUDDY CREI	\$9,242.32	99.94%		\$9,242.32	99.94%		
		\$7,276.00	Ψ),242 .32	77.7470	•	\$7,272.32	33.3 4 /0	•	JU.U U
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project: SN064 - HOMINY CRE	EEK _						
		\$4,404.86	\$4,404.86	100.00%	3	\$2,941.86	66.79%	2	\$0.00
EX7. 2012	Fund Cada ON	Duniant Chines I tropt to store	rii eanz aber	NO CDEEZ					
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project: SN068 - LITTLE NOR	\$32,762.07	46.80%	20	\$15,040.72	21 409/		
		\$70,000.00	332,/02.0/	40.00 /0	20	513,040./Z	21.49%	10	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project: SN070 - SHOAL CREE	K						

r	Nicturat	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	D d'
<u>L</u>	<u>District</u>							1 ayments	Pending
		\$45,000.00	\$22,424.68	49.83%	2	\$22,424.68	49.83%	l	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN071 - BIG CREEK							
		\$69,081.00	\$33,797.00	48.92%	6	\$11,623.10	16.83%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN072 - GREASY CRE	EK						
		\$70,546.68	\$9,585.00	13.59%	8	\$900.00	1.28%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN074 - LITTLE MARI							
		\$55,000.00	\$41,187.73	74.89%	9	\$26,112.02	47.48%	5	\$0.00
								•	
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project: SN075 - INDIAN CREE							
		\$63,440.00	\$55,716.58	87.83%	6	\$19,391.07	30.57%	3	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN076 - MUDDY CREE							_
		\$80,000.00	\$9,143.75	11.43%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
777.			~~~~~						
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN077 - BEAR/BRUSH		22.250/					
		\$30,000.17	\$29,984.43	99.95%	6	\$21,716.13	72.39%	4	\$0.00
TT 2012	T 10 10 1	D CNORD DANKSEN CODE	TOTAL						
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN079 - RAMSEY CRE		63,38%		615 251 11	20.200/		#0.00
		\$40,000.00	\$25,351.11	03.38%	4	\$15,351.11	38.38%	3	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN081 - OSAGE PLAIN	IC.						
F 1: 2012	runu Coue:SN	\$50,000.00	\$35,003.38	70.01%	9	\$16,638.27	33.28%	6	\$0.00
		\$50,000.00	333,003.30	70.01 70	9	#10,030.27	33,28%	0	30.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN082 - WOODS FORE	K/GASCONADE	RIVER					
		\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	100.00%		\$1,035.00	2.07%	1	\$0.00
		\$20,000.00	220,000000				2.0770	•	Φ0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN083 - LOWER COLI	E CAMP						
<u> </u>		\$87,000.00	\$13,881.00	15.96%	7	\$11,552.00	13.28%	6	\$0.00
		40.,000.00	, , ,			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1012070	v	50100
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN084 - SHOAL CREE	K						

r	District	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
	<u> </u>	\$60,000.00	\$59,713.55	99.52%	6	\$36,807.99	61.35%	4	\$0.00
		,	,			,			
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN085 - GRAYS CREE!							_ _
		\$85,000.00	\$14,598.00	17.17%	9	\$1,848.00	2.17%	1	\$13,168.77
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN086 - MIDDLE FORI	K SALT RIVER						
		\$83,000.00	\$73,925.40	89.07%	5	\$8,240.74	9.93%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN087 - LOWER LOUT	RE						
	<u> </u>	\$75,800.00	\$68,820.30	90.79%	12	\$14,429.40	19.04%	2	\$6,060.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN088 - LITTLE MARI	ES CREEK						
		\$48,000.00	\$1,633.62	3.40%	3	\$1,633.62	3.40%	1	\$3,972.98
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN089 - PEMISCOT CI	AY ROOT						
		\$78,500.00	\$46,951.72	59.81%	26	\$17,144.36	21.84%	10	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN090 - SALT FORK C	REEK						
		\$65,000.00	\$48,766.30	75.03%	11	\$11,461.72	17.63%	2	\$9,906.78
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN091 - ST. JOHN'S BA	YOU						
		\$56,000.00	\$13,952.29	24.91%	4	\$12,465.75	22.26%	1	\$6,392,85
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN092 - BESS SLOUGH	I						
		\$85,000.00	\$70,500.04	82.94%	9	\$70,500.04	82.94%	7	\$6,886.86
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN093 - HURRICANE (CREEK AND L	ITTLE WHITEV	VATER				
		\$72,000.00	\$47,321.74	65.72%	11	\$40,595.58	56.38%	7	\$3,493.12
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN094 - BYRD CREEK							
<u> </u>		\$122,483.00	\$52,557.26	42.91%	26	\$28,099.03	22.94%	14	\$0.00
EV. 2012	Fund Code(SN	Project-SN005 - HPDFD RIC CI	DEFE						

FY: 2012 Fund Code:SN Project:SN095 - UPPER BIG CREEK

'n	Natulat		Allogotod	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	<u>Contract</u> Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
<u>L</u>	<u> District</u>		Allocated							
			\$100,000.00	\$34,217.00	34.22%	43	\$349.00	0.35%	1	\$666.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code: SN	Project:SN	1096 - CROWLEY'S		_					
			\$68,155.00	\$5,379.42	7.89%	4	\$2,725.40	4.00%	1	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN	N097 - PEARSON CI	REEK						•
			\$59,078.00	\$11,599.69	19.63%	12	\$8,015.00	13.57%	9	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN	1098 - WARM FORI	K OF SPRING RI	VER					
			\$76,450.00	\$39,058.10	51.09%	93	\$27,823.15	36.39%	68	\$22,278.37
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN	N099 - HEATHS CRI	EEK			•			
			\$88,500.00	\$82,306.68	93.00%	10	\$43,888.81	49.59%	7	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN	N100 - ELK FORK S	ALT RIVER & C	OON CREEK					
			\$55,000.00	\$19,630.76	35.69%	4	\$15,590.25	28.35%	3	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN	N101 - CROOKED R	IVER .						
		·	\$79,000.00	\$77,628.26	98.26%	12	\$63,974.37	80.98%	8	\$0.00
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN	N102 - SOUTH WYA	CONDA						
			\$80,000.00	\$71,943.13	89.93%	13	\$53,634.82	67.04%	11	\$55.53
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN	N103 - LOWER HEA	DWATERS OF J	IAMES RIVER					
			\$73,740.00	\$42,943.63	58.24%	22	\$11,548.48	15.66%	5	\$21,090.43
FY: 2012	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN	N104 - CLARK / WO	LF CREEK						
			\$70,000.00	\$4,050.00	5.79%		\$3,850.00	5.50%	4	\$0.00
	Subtotal	for SN	\$2,402,291.34	\$1,330,245.35	55.37%	435	\$695,893.14	28.97%	219	\$93,971.69

Master Fund Status Summary (2012)

Subtotal for R	\$27,515,479.03	\$19,030,227.55	69.16%	4820	\$9,917,403.86	36.04%	2253	\$1,438,211.89
Subtotal for SN	\$2,402,291.34	\$1,330,245.35	55.37%	435	\$695,893.14	28.97%	219	\$93,971.69
Report Totals	\$29,917,770.37	\$20,360,472.90	68.05%	5255	\$10,613,297.00	35.47%	2472	\$1,532,183.58

These are the Soil and Water Conservation Districts that received this letter:

Ec

Stoddard, Scott, Ripley, Pemiscot New Madrid, Butler, Mississippi Dunklin, Cape Girardeau and Bollinger



Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor • Sara Parker Pauley, Director

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

December 13, 2011

Board of Supervisors

Dear Supervisors:

On November 10, 2011, the Soil and Water Conservation Program provided guidance to district staff regarding erosion requirements for conservation practices in *Memorandum 2012-013*. The program would like to clarify this guidance since the Bootheel counties have conservation practices that are not used elsewhere in the state. Missouri state rules require most land with a request for cost-share assistance to have active erosion; however, practices to prevent gully erosion when needed to complete a water disposal system are exempt from the existing erosion requirement according to 10 CSR 70-5.020(2)(A). Therefore, drop pipes in the Bootheel remain eligible for state cost-share if they have a potential for erosion.

The DWP-1 Sediment Retention, Erosion, or Water Control Structure practice policy is used throughout the state. The implementation of the practice varies greatly based upon the terrain conditions. To assist in policy clarification for the Bootheel region, the program is considering removing installation of drop pipes from the DWP-1 practice and developing a drop pipe practice to meet the intent of the cost-share rules 10 CSR 70-5.020(2)(A). Consideration is also being given to moving this practice from the Sheet/Rill and Gully resource concern into Irrigation Management resource concern with the corresponding funding. Until this practice is revised, we ask that you continue to use the DWP-1 practice for the drop pipes, as you have been, for fiscal year 2012 contracts.

Thank you for your input on this matter and feel free to offer any suggestions in the development of this practice for clarification purposes. If the district has any questions, please contact your district coordinator.

Sincerely,

SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Colleen Meredith

Acting Program Director

ollien Meredeth

CM:khd





Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Division 70—Soil and Water Districts Commission Chapter 5—State Funded Cost-Share Program

10 CSR 70-5.010 Apportionment of Funds

PURPOSE: This rule establishes commission guidelines for allocation of funds available for the Missouri State Soil and Water Conservation Cost-Share Program.

- (1) General Availability of Funds. State costshare funds shall be available only to landowners of land located in soil and water conservation districts which have agreed to locally administer the program and have executed a Memorandum of Understanding with the commission setting forth the terms of assistance. To be eligible, a landowner must have a conservation plan as approved by the district.
- (2) Annual Apportionment of Funds. All funds apportioned to the cost-share program for any fiscal year shall be apportioned by the commission to the participating districts by considering the character of the districts' needs according to criteria developed by the commission.
- (A) Fiscal Year Limitations. Funds allocated to districts but unclaimed at the end of each fiscal year shall be returned to the commission.
- (B) Release of Funds for Reapportionment. A district, at any time, may provide notice to the commission that it has not obligated all funds made available under section (2), that it does not expect to do so by the end of the fiscal year and that it releases any portion of those funds for reapportionment by the commission.
- (C) Termination of the Memorandum of Understanding. In the event that the Memorandum of Understanding required by section (1) is terminated by any district or by the commission, the district shall release all funds unobligated as of the effective date of termination and shall further release, as they become available, obligated funds for which no claim for payment is made in a timely manner.
- (D) Use of Released Funds. Funds released by any district in accordance with subsections (2)(A)–(C) shall be returned to the Cost-Share Program to be reallocated by the commission considering the relative need basis or reserved by the commission for special allotment under subsection (2)(E).

(E) Special Allotments. The commission may withhold funds from the general apportionment under section (2) and may reserve funds released by the districts under subsections (2)(A)-(C) for the purpose of cost-sharing special projects which the commission considers necessary and of high priority for the abatement of soil erosion and the controlling of sediment. The funds thus withheld for the general apportionment or returned to the commission shall be allotted to a district(s) specified by the commission for the costsharing of certain critical-needs projects. The special critical-needs projects shall be planned and designed by the commission incorporating the cooperative assistance of the local district(s) involved and with the technical assistance available district(s).

AUTHORITY: sections 278.070 and 278.110, RSMo 2000 and section 278.080, RSMo Supp. 2007.* Original rule filed Aug. 12, 1980, effective Jan. 1, 1981. Emergency amendment filed July 29, 2009, effective Aug. 8, 2009, expired Feb. 25, 2010. Amended: Filed Sept. 26, 2007, effective May 30, 2008.

*Original authority: 278.070, RSMo 1943, amended 1961, 1969, 1980; 278.080, RSMo 1943, amended 1961, 1986, 1995, 2000, 2001; and 278.110, RSMo 1943, amended 1961, 1980.

10 CSR 70-5.020 Application and Eligibility for Funds

PURPOSE: This rule establishes criteria and methods of application for persons desiring funds from the Missouri Soil and Water Conservation Cost-Share Program.

- (1) Establishing Practice Eligibility. The commission shall establish a list of eligible practices for which cost-share funds should be utilized and annually shall affirm or modify the list as it considers appropriate. The participating districts shall develop annual priority listings of preferred practices from the commission eligibility list upon which they will base their considerations for costsharing. Landowners shall be eligible for cost-share funds only for the types of practices designated as eligible for these purposes by the Soil and Water Districts Commission and by the participating districts. No eligible practices are available to treat flood scouring problems.
- (2) Application for Assistance. To be eligible for assistance from the Cost-Share Program, a landowner must make application on forms provided by the commission. Copies of these forms shall be available at district offices.

The district's board will act upon only those applications for cost-sharing from landowners who have a conservation plan as approved by the district, except as provided in sections (7) and (8), and for eligible practices on which construction or implementation has not yet begun. In commission-approved Special Area Land Treatment (SALT) program projects, the district board of supervisors may approve SALT cost-share applications at the date of the conservation plan approval or at the approval date of the SALT project, whichever is later. However, governmental agencies, political subdivisions and public institutions are excluded from participation in the Cost-Share Program. As a further stipulation for receiving cost-sharing assistance, the land upon which the practice is to be implemented or constructed must be eroding at rates greater than tolerable soil loss limits or be experiencing active gully erosion, except that cost-sharing assistance also may be available in the following instances when excessive erosion is not necessarily occur-

- (A) For eligible practices to prevent gully erosion when needed to complete a water disposal system;
- (B) For the establishment of permanent forest cover on marginal or riparian lands;
- (C) For the exclusion of domestic livestock grazing from existing woodlands on marginal or riparian soils;
- (D) For a no-till practice for forage conversions:
- (E) For grade stabilization structures that are greater than ten (10) years old when the principal pipe has failed;
- (F) For a no-till practice to improve the vegetative cover of pasture and rangeland to provide continued erosion prevention; and
- (G) For a practice to demonstrate benefits of a planned grazing system.
- (3) Funding Determination and Limits. It shall be the responsibility and duty of the board of supervisors to determine the actual dollar amount of cost-sharing on individual applications. State cost-share rates shall not exceed the limits established in 10 CSR 70-5.040(1). In the event that the landowner wishes to construct or implement practices over and above the size or scope determined by a qualified technician to be of minimum and necessary need for soil and water conservation, the board shall provide cost-share assistance on only that part of the practice necessary for soil and water conservation purposes.
- (4) Availability of Federal Funds. Applications for cost-sharing assistance may be

www.dnr.mo.gov

MEMORANDUM

2012-018

DATE:

December 30, 2011

TO:

All Soil and Water Conservation Districts

FROM:

Ken Struemph, District Operations Section

Soil and Water Conservation Program

SUBJECT: Time Accounting System

The time reporting system is a tool that the commission authorized to provide standardized timesheets in the districts. This tool is able to capture information about the varied work activities of district staff and assists in resolving time accounting audit issues noted through the contract audit process. The time reporting system was implemented for the first group of districts in April 2011 and subsequent groups were added in August and September. Having statewide data entered into the system has enabled program staff to begin working with the programmers on report development.

Analysis of the preliminary report data shows incorrect time codes have been used for certain activities. For example, a number of districts have utilized the FMLA (Family Medical Leave Act) code. Districts need to be aware that proper documentation must exist between the board and employee to utilize this leave code for serious or prolonged illness. This leave most likely should have been coded as Sick Leave. To help with providing guidance for entering the data, please find the attached definitions for the work codes available for selection in the time reporting system.

Also in the analysis of the preliminary report data, it is apparent that some districts are not using the system on a weekly basis. In order to utilize the information to the fullest extent, district staff must stay current on entering their timesheets. Please remember that accurate, consistent and timely data entered into the system allows for better reporting. As the programmers develop reports, this information will be useful to the board of supervisors, district staff, program staff, and partner agencies for evaluating time spent on varying projects and district activities.

In the initial roll out of timesheets to the districts, a time code "Implementation of Timesheets" was utilized to consolidate time from previous timesheets approved by the board to assist in ease

All SWCDs December 30, 2011 Page two

of implementation of the system. The time code "Implementation of Timesheets" must not be used on any timesheets for work done after December 31, 2011, since this code was only developed for initiating the system. Beginning January 1, 2012, please use only the time codes reflecting the actual activities worked on by district staff. For staff failing to keep the reporting efforts current in the system, those staff are advised to keep a detailed record of the activities after January 1st in order to complete the timesheets utilizing the correct time codes. Effective January 20th the "Implementation of Timesheets" code will not be available for district employees for completing timesheets prior to January 1, 2012.

Districts with employees that are not current on the time reporting system will be notified in a separate letter. Failure to complete time sheets accurately and in a timely manner may result in future district assistance allocations being held. The program realizes that some districts have a backlog of timesheets that need to be entered into the system. To quickly bridge the gap in getting the system updated, please scan a copy of any board approved timesheets (district generated timesheet) along with the appropriate timesheet completed in the system. This will avoid having to get board signatures on any delinquent timesheets with the "Time Implementation Code".

If you need assistance with the time reporting, please contact your district coordinator or Lori Bax at 573-751-5389.

KS:lbd

Attachment

	·	
319	319 PROJECT MANAGEMENT	Generating reports, developning correspondence, project marketing, workshops, landowner interaction, practice development and installation
_	ADMINISTRATION	Administrative work developing contracts, change orders, contract payments,
A	ADMINISTRATION	and updating landowner cost-share files
	ACCOUNTING	Entering data in district accounting program, generating quarterly reports,
ACC	ACCOUNTING	preparing payroll, payments and deposits
BM	BOARD MEETING	Preparation for board meeting and attendance at board meetings
CS	COST-SHARE ADMINISTRATION	Data entry in MOSWIMS, generating reports, developing contracts, change orders and contract payments, obtaining signatures, and scanning cost-share documents
ELECTION	ELECTION	Developing election advertisments and legal notices for newspapers, obtaining list of landowners from FSA and County Assessor, scheduling location of election
EQR	EQUIPMENT RENTAL	Completing rental agreements, billing, maintenance, delivery and setup
FMLA	FMLA	On leave following the Family Medical Leave Act (must have paperwork in file)
FMLA U	FMLA (UNPAID)	On unpaid leave following the Family Medical Leave Act (must have paperwork in file)
FMLA WC	FMLA (WORKER'S COMP)	On leave for a worker's comp claim following the Family Medical Leave Act. (must have paperwork in file)
GL	GENERAL LANDOWNER CONTRACT	Discussion with landowners about cost-share programs and general inquires
IE	INFORMATION/EDUCATION ACTIVITIES	Poster contest, newsletter, fair booth, water festivals, general public, awareness of the district
IMPL	IMPLEMENTATION OF TIMESHEET	Time recorded from board approved timesheets beginning on January 2011 until timesheets are entered up to the current time period for the employee
LM	LANDOWNER PROGRAM AWARENESS	Informing landowners about cost-share program, practices and required policies through articles, workshops, etc.
LOCAL	SALES	Maintaining inventory of itmes for sale, billing customers, customer interaction, maintaining logs
MRBI	MISSISSIPPI RIVER BASIN INITATIVE	Developing reports, implementing practices, assistiong landowners with contracts
MTG	MEETINGS	Commission meetings, staff/FOSA meetings, Employee meetings, Assocaiation meetings, NRCS meetings including travel and preparation time
OA	OFFICE ADMINISTRATION	General office activities - filing, answering phones, greeting visitors, preparing mail
PL	PL-566	Assistance with PL-566 watershed districts including office support, attending meetings and inspection of dams
PSC	PRATICE SPOT CHECK	District practice maintenance spot checks on paid contracts, Assisting with field reviews of practices by program office staff

SALT ADMIN	AGNPS ADMINISTRATION	Completeing progress reports for AgNPS project. Project review meeting
		Technical work on the practice including field visits, surveying, design, layout,
Т	TECHNICAL	checkout and developing maps
TNG	TRAINING	Attending training session or individual training in office
-	USDA ADMINISTRATIVE	Administeative assistance for any USDA programs. Filing, Updating Landowner
USDA A	ASSISTANCE	files, data entry into USDA computer system
USDA T	USDA TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	Technical assistance for any USDA programs

Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor • Sara Parker Pauley, Director

IT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

December 12, 2011

Board of Supervisors Madison Soil and Water Conservation District 504 E Highway 72 Fredericktown MO 63645

Dear Supervisors:

The Soil and Water Conservation Program received your letter dated November 8, 2011, regarding the eligibility of a DWC-1 Water Impoundment Reservoir for Mr. Charles Morris. With your letter, there were attachments describing the damage from an inland hurricane in the county and the area immediately adjacent to the proposed pond structure.

Program staff have discussed this issue previously with district staff explaining the reasoning for denial of eligibility. Following a site visit and discussion with Mr. Morris, this site still appears not to meet eligibility requirements for construction of a Water Impoundment Reservoir due to the following commission policies:

- 1) Lack of agricultural activity present.
- 2) Proposed site consists of dense forest cover.
- 3) Clearing the site prior to eligibility approval.

The letter dated November 8, 2011, requested the program office to reconsider the previous denial for eligibility. At this time, staff feels this structure would not fall within the commission policies for the practice requested; however, if the board of supervisors would like to appeal this issue to the Soil and Water Districts Commission at the January 11, 2012 meeting, please reply prior to December 23, 2011, to ensure your information can be included in the commission packet.

If you have questions, please contact me at the Soil and Water Conservation Program, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176, by phone at 573-522-3324, or by email at kurt.boeckmann@dnr.mo.gov. Thank You.

Sincerely,

SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Kurt Boeckmann

District Operations Section

aut Backma

KB:dc





Madison County Soil and Water Conservation District



504 E. Hwy. 72 Fredericktown, Mo. 63645 (573) 783-5291

November 8, 2011

Dear Commissioners and Program Office Staff,

The Madison County Soil and Water Conservation District Board along with landowner Charles Morris would like to request that the Commissioners and Program Office Staff take a second look at Mr. Morris application for cost-share. We as a Board fully understand the explanation given for the denial of Mr. Morris application. We also understand the reason behind Mr. Morris decision in clearing his timber.

The Madison County SWCD Board is not sure that the Commissioner's and Program Office Staff are fully aware of the catastrophic damage that Madison County and the landowners of the County sustained during the 2009 inland hurricane. MDC Forest Service and the USDA Forest Service has stated that there was a total of 113,308 acres damaged and/or destroyed that could be viewed from a satellite, along with another estimated 40,000 acres that could not be viewed from the satellite. Madison County had the seconded highest forest damaged out of all the counties effected by this storm, with an estimated 17,854 viewable acres.(Enclosure) Mr. Morris has stated that he received substantial damage due to this inland hurricane and this being the reason for the clearing, prior to application approval.

As stated above. We, the Madison County SWCD Board of Supervisors along with Mr. Morris would like to request the Commissioners and Program Office staff, takes into consideration the abnormal circumstances, and <u>re-review</u> Mr. Morris's application for cost-share assistances.

Sincerely,

JC Shetley

Madison County SWCD

Chairman

Harry Robbins

Madison County SWCD

Vice- Chairman

Bruce Griffon

Madison County SWCD


Treasurer

Danny Mille


Madison County SWCD


Member

Map



Мар








TOWNSHIP 33N • RANGE 6E

PT POLK PT ST. FRANCOIS

PT ST. MICHAELS PT MINE LA MOTTE









RIPLEY COUNTY SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

#3 Confederate Ridge Road • Doniphan, MO 63935-9466 Phone: (573) 996-7116 Ext. 3 • Fax (573) 996-5230

November 16, 2011

Soil & Water Conservation Commission . P. O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re: Letter of Recommendation - SWCD Board Vacancy Replacement

Barbara Gettings has resigned effective November 16, 2011 as a Board Member of the Ripley County Soil & Water District Board. (See letter attached)

We are recommended that the following individual be approved to fill the unexpired term:

Mary Scott, HC6 Box 130, Doniphan, Missouri 63935

Attached is a "verification of eligibility" form.

Please advise.

Sincerely,
Mise Amous

Mike Armour Chairman

MA/rcg

Attachments

Cc: Jennifer Pellet, DNR Coordinator

HCR6, Box 114

Doniphan, MO 63935

November 16, 2011

Ripley County SWCD #3 Confederate Ridge Road Doniphan, MO 63935-9466

Due to health reasons and her inability to serve on the Ripley County Soil & Water Conservation

District, my mother, Barbara Gettings, is requesting that she be removed as a member of the Board of Supervisors.

Her resignation is effective immediately.

Sincerely,

David Gettings

Power-of-Attorney for

Barbara Gettings

VERIFICATION OF SUPERVISOR ELIGIBILITY

To qualify for office, according to Missouri's Code of State Regulations, 10 CSR 70-2.020, Conduct of Supervisor Elections, a candidate shall:

- 1) Be a land representative as defined by "The owner, or representative authorized by power of attorney, of any farm lying within the soil and water conservation district (SWCD); provided, however, that any land representative must be a taxpayer of the county within which the SWCD is located," and
- 2) Be a resident taxpaying citizen within that SWCD for two (2) years preceding the appointment to the District Board of Supervisors by the Commission, and
- 3) Be a cooperator of the SWCD defined as "A person who is actively involved in farming and practices conservation activities related to agriculture," and
 - 4) Reside in or own a farm lying in the same territory where the board position is vacant.

The undersigned certify that the candidate meets all of the above stated eligibility requirements to serve as a supervisor for the Ripley County Soil and Water Conservation District.

Chairperson (or acting) Signature: Mary (1. Scott Date: 11/16/11

IX-15 05/01/2011

VERIFICATION OF SUPERVISOR ELIGIBILITY

To qualify for office, according to Missouri's Code of State Regulations, 10 CSR 70-2.020, Conduct of Supervisor Elections, a candidate shall:

- 1) Be a land representative as defined by "The owner, or representative authorized by power of attorney, of any farm lying within the soil and water conservation district (SWCD); provided, however, that any land representative must be a taxpayer of the county within which the SWCD is located," and
- 2) Be a resident taxpaying citizen within that SWCD for two (2) years preceding the appointment to the District Board of Supervisors by the Commission, and
 - 3) Be a cooperator of the SWCD defined as "A person who is actively involved in farming and practices conservation activities related to agriculture," and
 - 4) Reside in or own a farm lying in the same territory where the board position is vacant.

The undersigned certify that the candidate meets all of the above stated eligibility requirements to serve as a supervisor for the Ripley County Soil and Water Conservation District.

Chairperson (or acting) Signature: Mary (1. Scott Date: 11/16/11

Candidate Signature: Date: 11/16/11

IX-15 05/01/2011

Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor • Sara Parker Pauley, Director

T OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

December 12, 2011

Roger E. Heck & Donna M. Heck Rev Inter Vivos Trust 12207 Omaha Rd. Maitland, MO 64466

Dear Roger and Donna Heck:

This letter is a follow up regarding your request for an appeal of the Holt County Soil and Water Conservation District's (SWCD) request that you repay \$10,000 in cost-share funds for a DWP-1 Sediment Retention, Erosion or Water Control Structure. This request was made by the local board as a result of a spot check discovering the practice (contract number SGE106-11-0059) was not constructed according to the designs provided and the contractor check-out notes.

Your appeal was discussed by the Soil and Water Districts Commission on November 28, 2011. There was no decision made by the commission as additional information was presented at the meeting by your contractor stating that more work has been done to meet the design requirements since receiving the initial letter from the Holt SWCD board. With the additional information, the commission voted to table this item until the January meeting to allow the local Holt SWCD time to verify the work has been performed. The Holt SWCD technical staff will be in contact with you to further review the installation of this practice.

Should you have any questions regarding this issue, please contact Jeremy Redden, at the Nodaway County Soil and Water Conservation District Office, 502 W. South Hills Drive, Ste. 101, Maryville, MO 64468, or by phone at 660-582-0439. Thank you.

Sincerely,

SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Ken Struemph

District Operations Section

KS:jrd

c: Holt SWCD

Dick Purcell, NRCS





DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

September 8, 2011

Roger E. Heck & Donna M. Heck Rev Inter Vivos Trust 12207 Omaha Rd. Maitland, MO 64466

Dear Roger and Donna Heck:

The Soil and Water Conservation Program received your letter on August 26, 2011, appealing the Holt County Soil and Water Conservation District Board of Supervisors request for additional construction on a Sediment Retention and Control Structure conservation practice so it will meet Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Standards and Specifications. The other option was to repay cost-share received on contract 106-11-0059 for the Sediment Retention and Control Structure. Your letter also indicates you are appealing the board's request to correct the practices under 106-11-0061 and 106-11-0062 contracts, both Sediment Retention and Control Structures, prior to receiving payment.

The program has been discussing the issue with the Holt SWCD board and the NRCS District Conservationist. According to the information provided by NRCS that the water and sediment control basin was not constructed according to the design that you were given for contract 106-11-005, the board was advised to request the repayment of the contract or ask that you bring the practice up to meet NRCS Standard and Specifications.

In order for the board to approve a contract for payment, the completed practice must meet NRCS Standard and Specifications. Due to this requirement, the board is requesting that you work on the practices to meet NRCS Standard and Specification for contracts 106-11-0061 and 106-11-0062 prior to being paid.

Your appeal will be added to the Soil and Water Districts Commission's meeting agenda that is tentatively schedule for November 28, 2011, at Tan-Tar-A Resort at Osage Beach, Missouri. The program is continuing to discuss this matter with the Holt SWCD Board and NRCS. However, based on the information gathered to date, it is anticipated that the program's recommendation to the commission will be to uphold the board's requests in the letter.

Holt SWCD September 8, 2011 Page two

Please contact Jeremy Redden, the program's district coordinator for Holt County, at the Nodaway County SWCD Office, 502 W. South Hills Drive, Ste. 101, Maryville MO 64468, or by phone at 660-582-0439, if you have any questions regarding the appeal process. Thank you.

Sincerely,

SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Jim Plassmeyer
District Operations

JP:dc

c: Holt SWCD



23 August 2011

Soil and Water Districts Commission PO Box 176 Jefferson city, MO 65102

Dear Sir,

We wish to appeal the ruling of the enclosed letter dated 8-17-11.

Roser 6. Hech - Trust.

Sincerely,

Roger E. Heck & Donna M. Heck

Rev Inter Vivos Trust 12207 Omaha Rd Maitland, MO 64466



HOLT COUNTY SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

8-17-11

Roger E Heck & Donna M Heck Rev Inter Vivos Trust 12207 Omaha Rd Maitland, MO 64466

Dear Roger & Donna Heck:

With regard to the results of a spot check of the sediment retention control structures constructed on your farm 3392, tract 221 under cost-share contracts 106-11-0059, 106-11-0061 and 106-11-0062, please be advised that Natural Resources Conservation Service staff, in consultation with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Soil and Water Conservation Program staff, has concluded that the original designs did not meet NRCS standards and specifications and the practices were not constructed according to the designs.

In accordance with state cost-share policy, practices must be installed to meet NRCS standards and specifications and in compliance with Missouri Soil and Water Commission policy in order to receive cost-share reimbursement. You may elect to correct the practice under contract 106-11-0059 so that it meets NRCS standards and specifications and subsequently retain the cost-share payment you received on April 19, 2011 in the amount of \$10,000. Please contact NRCS District Conservationist Chris Rader with any questions regarding specifics related to the required corrections.

If you do not elect to correct the practice to meet NRCS standards and specifications, the cost-share payment you received on April 19, 2011 for contract 106-11-0059 in the amount of \$10,000 must be returned to the State of Missouri, Department of Natural Resources, Soil and Water Conservation Program.

If you do not elect to correct the practice to meet NRCS standards and specifications, in accordance with state statute, the Holt County Soil & Water Conservation District Board would be required to recover the payment and would then request reconciliation in one of the two following ways:



HOLT COUNTY SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

- A money order or check for a total of \$10,000 written within 30 days of the notification made payable to: State of Missouri, Department of Natural Resources, Soil and Water Conservation Program
- 2) You may choose to have the payments deducted from a future cost-share contract. You must apply for another practice within one year of this notification. If a contract is not approved by the board within one year of this notification, you will then have 30 days from the end of the one year grace period to repay the state.

Within 30 days of that notification, you may request that the Soil and Water Districts Commission review the request for repayment. Your request must be in writing and addressed to: Soil and Water Districts Commission, PO Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102. The review shall be conducted at a regularly scheduled commission meeting. You will have the opportunity to present comments in support of your request. Your comments may be presented in person, by a representative or in writing.


Regarding contracts 106-11-0061 and 106-11-0062, in accordance with state cost-share policy, practices must be installed to meet NRCS standards and specifications and in compliance with Missouri Soil and Water Commission policy in order to receive cost-share reimbursement. You may elect to reconstruct these practices from a new design for which cost-share assistance is available for additional tile costs only, provided the resulting constructed practices meet NRCS standards and specifications. Please contact NRCS District Conservationist Chris Rader with any questions regarding specifics related to the redesign.

If you do not elect to reconstruct the practices so that they meet NRCS standards and specifications, contracts 106-11-0061 and 106-11-0062 will be canceled without payment. Within 30 days of this notification, you may request that the Soil and Water Districts Commission review this matter using the same process listed above.

Please contact our office with any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Bruce Biermann Board Chairman



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SOIL AND WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION COST-SHARE ASSISTANCE CONTRACT

106 - HOF ESWCD 118 WEST DAVIS ST MOUND CITY, MO 64470 (660)442-3173

Contract Number SGE 106-11-0059

Landowner TIN: XXX-XX-9668

HECK, ROGER 12207 OMAITA RD MAITLAND, MO 64466

Legal Owner: HLCK, ROGER

PRACTICE: DWP-01 SEDIMENT RETENTION CONTROL STRUCTURES


RESOURCE CONCERN: SHEET AND RHAY GULLY FROSION 2011

I (we), the undersigned, do hereby request cost-share assistance to help defray the cost of installing the SEDIMENT RETENTION CONTROL STRUCTURES as listed above. It is understood and agreed that:

- 1. The SEDIMENT RETENTION CONTROL STRUCTURES installed with cost-share assistance shall be properly maintained.
- 2. The cooperator acknowledges that to receive payment a Vendor Input Form and Vendor ACH/EFT Application are required and that a 1099-G will be issued at the end of the calendar year. The cooperator also acknowledges that payment will be received in the form of a direct deposit.
- 3. Condition of Payment of State Cost-Share Funds: If a practice is removed, altered, or modified so as to lessen its effectiveness without prior consent of the Soil and Water Conservation District Board of Supervisors for a period of 10 years after the date of receiving payment, HECK, ROGER shall refund to the Missouri Soil and Water Districts Commission the state cost-share funds used for the practice. The cooperator(s) that received the cost-share payment remains responsible for the maintenance of the practice upon change of ownership unless the responsibility is transferred with the deed for the property.
- 4. Right of ingress and egress for the purpose of inspecting construction and maintenance of a practice cost-shared with state funds is hereby granted by the cooperator(s).
- 5. The cooperator(s) will be notified of any maintenance violation and the board will give a reasonable and fair estimate of time to correct the problem(s). If the violation is not corrected within the specified time, the matter will be referred to the Soil and Water Districts Commission for further action.
- 6. Should this contract be approved by HOLT SWCD, the Cooperator(s) will be notified by the district. If the cooperator(s) accepts cost-share assistance, the cooperator(s) will not start the practice prior to board approval of this contract.
- 7. Providing false information on documents in an effort to receive state cost-share funds is a criminal offense, punishable by fines and/or jail sentences. Discovery of such an offense is prosecutable by the Missouri Attorney General's Office or the local county prosecutor.

COOPERATOR:

IMPENES PEH DATE 2-17-11



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SOIL AND WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION **COST-SHARE ASSISTANCE CONTRACT**

106 - HOLE SWCD 118 WEST DAVIS ST MOUND CITY MO 64470 (660)442-3173

Contract Number SGE 106-11-0059


> Landowner TIN: XXX-XX-9668

HECK, ROGER 12207 OMAHA RD MATTLAND, MO 64466

Legal Owner: HECK, ROGER

PRACTICE: DWP-01 - SEDIMENT RETENTION CONTROL STRUCTURES	
RESOURCE CONCERN: SHILL FLAND RILL / GULL Y PROSION 2014	
TECHNICIAN ASSIGNED: YATES/KUNT	

REASON:								
LUFE SPAN 10	TERM D VEE 06/01/2011	ACRI	ES SERVED 20 00	HUC CODI 10240005-2200		PWSS-F	•	CLASS/SUBCLASS 371
"T" ON FIELD	PRE-INSTA	LL (SR)	POST-IN	ISTALL (SR)	PRE-I	NSTALL (G) 172		POST-INSTALL (G)
COMPONENT		COST	/ UNIT	EXT APRVD		EST \$	CS %	APRVD AMOUNT
CORRUGATED PE 10	IN	\$3,4200	/ T	980,00	.\$	3.351.60	75° o	\$2,513,70
CORRUGATED PL 5P	V	\$0.7400	/11	300,00		\$222.00	75""	\$166.50
CORRUGATED PL 80	\	\$1.8900	/11	350.00		\$661.50	75%	\$496.12
EM - LARTHMOVING	G. COMP	\$2,4500	/CU YD	4,223.00	\$1	0.346.35	75º0	\$7,759.76
MEDIUM (DIVERSIO	NS, WASCOBS)							
HORIZONTAL OUTLI	71 101N	\$102,5100	HA	1.00		\$102.51	75° a	\$76.88
RISER TOIN		\$142,6300	/EA	00.1		\$142.63		\$106.97
RISER 6IN		\$89,2900	/EA	00.1		\$89.29	75%	\$66,96
RISER 8IN		\$116,0000	HA	1.00		\$116.00	75° o	\$87.00
TRENCH/BKT ILL < 13	IN	\$1,3200	/ <u> "</u>	1,640,00	8	2.164.80	7,5°°	\$1,623,60
SUBTOTAL					\$1	7,196.68		\$12,897.49
Other Funds	_			MAX COST-	SHARE	-		\$10,000.00
TOTAL DISTRICT	COST-SHARE OF	BLIGATIO	MINUS AN	NY OTHER FUN	DS			\$10,000.00
ROGERTIEVA	OR the cooperator (i.e.)	iank Operator	for Fairns Tuc F				/2- /	
TECHNICIAN'S SIGN	Ut	S OF COMMISSION	POLICIES CONTAINE	D IN THE COST SHARE HAND		- DATE	2/1	8./ 11
B TONIR VET APPROX	ED BY (Board Mem	ober)				_ DATE	2-18	-1/



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SOIL AND WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION QUALIFYING CRITERIA

106 - HOUT SWCD 118 WEST DAVIS ST MOUND CITY, MO 64470 (660)442-3173

Contract Number SGE 106-11-0059

Landowner HN: XXX-XX-9668

HECK, ROGER 12207 OMAHA RD MAITLAND, MO 64466

Legal Owner: HECK, ROGER

PRACTICE: DWP-04 SEDIMENT RETENTION CONTROL STRUCTURES

RESOURCE CONCERN: SHIFELAND RILL / GULL Y FROSION 2011

Does the cooperator name on the contract match the property deed?

Time: 2/9/11 9:59:30AM

Author: REGINA YOUNG

YES

If a water tight flap gate is approved on the contract, explain:

Time: 2/9/11 9:59:38AM

Author: REGINA YOUNG


Not applicable

SGL 106-11-0059

4:00:50PM - Thursday, February 10, 2011

HECK, ROGER

Page Lof L



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SOIL AND WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION COST-SHARE ASSISTANCE CHANGE ORDER

106 - HOLT SWCD 118 WEST DAVIS ST MOUND CITY, MO 64470 (660)442-3173

Contract Number SGE 106-11-0059

Landowner TIN: XXX-XX-9668

ROGER E HECK & DONNA M HECK REV INTER VIVOS TRUST 12207 OMAHA RD MAITLAND, MO 64466

Legal Owner: ROGER E HECK & DONNA M HECK REV INTER VIVOS TRUST

REASON	Changed landowner info to match deed information per	CHANGE ORDER #		C01		
	County Assessor & Recorder's Offices.	TERMINATION DATE FROM:	06/01/2011	TO:	06/01/2011	
		OBLIGATED FUNDS FROM:	\$10,000.00	TO:	\$10,000.00	
DD ACTI	CE, DWB ALL CEDIMENT DETENTION CONTROL CEDIACTE	IDEC				


PRACTICE: DWP-01 - SEDIMENT RETENTION CONTROL STRUCTURES

RESOURCE CONCERN: SHEET AND RILL / GULLY EROSION 2011

TECHNICIAN ASSIGNED: YATES/KENT

	DATE	HUC CODE 10240005-22000	PWSS-F		CLASS/SUBCLASS 3 / E
"T" ON FIELD P	RE-INSTALL (SR) POST	T-INSTALL (SR)	PRE-INSTALL (G)		POST-INSTALL (G)
COMPONENT	COST / UNIT	EXT APRVD	EST \$	CS %	APRVD AMOUNT
CORRUGATED PE 10IN	\$3.4200 / FT	980.00	\$3,351.60	75%	\$2,513.70
CORRUGATED PE 5IN	\$0.7400 / FT	300.00	\$222.00	75%	\$166.50
CORRUGATED PE 8IN	\$1.8900 / FT	350.00	\$661.50	75%	\$496.12
EM - EARTHMOVING, COMP MEDIUM (DIVERSIONS, WASCOBS)	\$2.4500 / CU YD	4,223.00	\$10,346.35	75%	\$7,759.76
HORIZONTAL OUTLETION	\$102.5100/EA	1.00	\$102.51	75%	\$76.88
RISER 10IN	\$142.6300/EA	1.00	\$142.63	75%	\$106.97
RISER 61N	\$89.2900/EA	1.00	\$89.29	75%	\$66.96
RISER 8IN	\$116.0000/EA	1.00	\$116.00	75%	\$87.00
TRENCH/BKFILL < 121N	\$1.3200 / FT	1,640.00	\$2,164.80	75%	\$1,623.60

Other Funds	MAX COST-SHARE	\$10,000.00
TOTAL AMOUNT OBLIGATED (SUPERCEDES AMOUNT	OBLIGATED ON ORIGINAL CONTRACT)	\$10,000.00
ALL OTHER PROVISIONS SET FORTH IN THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT AN	D MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT SHALL BE BINDING UPON THIS	S CHANGE ORDER.
COOPERATOR'S SIGNATURE - If someone is authorized to sign for the state that he/she is signing FOR the cooperator (i.e. Frank Operator for Fam		e person signing the form and
ROS eve Heck & Dona M. Heck Revine. ROGER E HECK & DONNA M HECK REVINTER VIVOS	rvives Trust by Stage & Hel-	3-28-11
PRACTICE COMPLIES WITH ALL QUALIFYING CRITERIA AND MEETS ALL COMMISSION POLICIE ALLS KOCKE TECHNICIAN'S SIGNATURE		s/11
CONTRACT APPROVED BY (Board Member)	DATE	/28/11



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SOIL AND WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

106 - HOLT SWCD 118 WEST DAVIS ST MOUND CITY, MO 64470 (660)442-3173

Contract Number SGE 106-11-0059

Landowner TIN: XXX-XX-9668

ROGER E HECK & DONNA M HECK REV INTER VIVOS TRUST 12207 OMAHA RD MAITLAND, MO 64466

Legal Owner: ROGER E HECK & DONNA M HECK REV INTER VIVOS TRUST

PRACTICE:DWP-01 - SEDIMENT RETENTION CONTROL STRUCTURES

RESOURCE CONCERN: SHEET AND RILL / GULLY EROSION 2011

Does the cooperator name on the contract match the property deed?

YES

Time: 2/9/11 9:59:30AM


Author: REGINA YOUNG

If a water tight flap gate is approved on the contract, explain:

Time: 2/9/11 9:59:38AM

Author: REGINA YOUNG

Not applicable



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SOIL AND WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION COST-SHARE ASSISTANCE CONTRACT PAYMENT

DNR OFFICE USE ONLY

DNR OFFICE INV# VOUCHER# 106 - HOLT SWCD 118 WEST DAVIS ST MOUND CITY, MO 64470 (660)442-3173

Contract Number SGE 106-11-0059 CO1


Landowner TIN: XXX-XX-9668

ROGER E HECK & DONNA M HECK REV INTER VIVOS TRUST 12207 OMAHA RD MAITLAND, MO 64466

Legal Owner: ROGER E HECK & DONNA M HECK REV INTER VIVOS TRUST PRACTICE: DWP-01 - SEDIMENT RETENTION CONTROL STRUCTURES RESOURCE CONCERN: SHEET AND RILL / GULLY EROSION 2011 TECHNICIAN ASSIGNED: YATES/KENT Contract Approved: 02/18/2011 Practice Completed: 04/06/2011 **Contract Payment Approved: REASON:** Extent Installed: 4,223.00 LIFE SPAN TERM DATE ACRES SERVED HUC CODE PWSS-P CLASS/SUBCLASS 10240005-220001 20.00 3 / E 10 06/01/2011 "T" ON FIELD PRE-INSTALL (SR) POST-INSTALL (SR) PRE-INSTALL (G) POST-INSTALL (G) **MAX COST-SHARE \$** OTHER FUNDS: \$10,000.00 TOTAL AMOUNT DUE MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT RECORDED: NO \$10,000.00 COOPERATOR CERTIFICATION I hereby certify that the practice has been installed or implemented, that the contract payment is proper and correct, and that no portion of this contract payment has yet been paid to me. I understand that providing false information on documents in an effort to receive state cost-share funds is a criminal offense. punishable by fines and/or jail sentences. Discovery of such offense is prosecutable by the Missouri Attorney General's Office or the local county prosecutor. COOPERATOR'S SIGNATURE - If someone is authorized to sign for the cooperator(s), the signature entered must include the name of the person signing the form and state that he/she is signing FOR the cooperator(s) (i.e., Frank Operator for Farms, Inc.) ROSEY E. HOCK+DODING M-HOCK-POULLEE-VIVOS-Trusy by ROBERE HELK DATE: 4-9-11 ROGER E HECK & DONNA M HECK REV INTER VIVOS TRUST TECHNICIAN CERTIFICATION hereby certify that the claimant did apply stated practices and they were installed properly and adequately, according to technical specifications required, and that they will perform their intended function SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT CERTIFICATION We the supervisors of HOLT SWCD certify that all components listed were necessary and authorized DATE: FOR COMMISSION OFFICE USE ONLY

DATE:

AUTHORIZED BY:



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SOIL AND WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION

COST-SHARE ASSISTANCE CONTRACT PAYMENT

ROGER E HECK & DONNA M HECK REV INTER VIVOS TRUST 12207 OMAHA RD MAITLAND, MO 64466 106 - HOLT SWCD 118 WEST DAVIS ST MOUND CITY, MO 64470 (660)442-3173

Contract Number SGE 106-11-0059 CO1

Landowner TIN: XXX-XX-9668

Legal Owner: ROGER E HECK & DONNA M HECK REV INTER VIVOS TRUST

PRACTICE: DWP-01 - SEDIMENT RETENTION CONTROL

STRUCTURES						
COMPONENT		EXTENT	45.00	UNIT	CS %	PAYMENT
	APPROVED	COMPLETED	COST		拥养的影响。在 对	AMOUNT
CORRUGATED PE 10IN	980,0000	980.0000	\$3.4200	FT	75	\$2,513.70
CORRUGATED PE 5IN	300.0000	300.0000	\$0.7400	FT	75	\$166.50
CORRUGATED PE 8IN	350.0000	350.0000	\$1.8900	FT	75	\$496.12
EM - EARTHMOVING, COMP MEDIUM (DIVERSIONS, WASCOBS)	4223.0000	4223.0000	\$2.4500	CU YD	75	\$7,759.76
HORIZONTAL OUTLETIOIN	1.0000	0000.1	\$102.5100	EA	75	\$76.88
RISER 10IN	1.0000	1.0000	\$142.6300	EA	75	\$106.97
RISER 6IN	1.0000	1.0000	\$89.2900	EA	75	\$66.96
RISER 8IN	1.0000	1.0000	\$116.0000	EA	75	\$87.00
TRENCH/BKFILL < 12IN	1640.0000	1640.0000	\$1.3200	FT	75	\$1,623.60
SUBTOTAL	Control of the Contro	TO THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRES			Marine de la companya	12,897.49
	and the state of t	in a sign of particle	en (Japan Kara) en la	en e		

TOTAL AMOUNT DUE

Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor • Sara Parker Pauley, Director

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

December 27, 2011

Board of Supervisors Chariton Soil and Water Conservation District 104 South JFK Keytesville, MO 65261

Dear Supervisors:

Thank you for your interest in working with Soil and Water Conservation Program staff to develop additional practices to address soil erosion and water quality. On November 28, 2011, the Soil and Water District Commission considered your request to work with program staff to develop a cover crop practice in Chariton County, as stated in your letter dated October 28, 2011. The commission voted to approve your request and directed program staff to work with the Chariton Soil and Water Conservation District, University of Missouri Extension, and the Natural Resources Conservation Service to develop a cover crop practice to be piloted in Chariton County.

This is an excellent opportunity for the district and the Soil and Water Conservation Program to work together to further the cause of protecting our soil and water resources. Cover crops offer great potential to positively impact numerous soil and water resource concerns statewide.

If you have any questions, please contact me at Soil and Water Conservation Program, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176 or by phone at 573-522-3159.

Sincerely,

SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Jeremia Markway

District Operations Section

JM:dc

Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor • Sara Parker Pauley, Director

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

October 28, 2011

Board of Supervisors Chariton Soil and Water Conservation District 104 South John F. Kennedy Avenue Keytesville, MO 65261

Dear Supervisors:

Thank you for your request dated October 13, 2011, to work with the Soil and Water Conservation Program and the Natural Resources Conservation Service on a cover crop pilot project.

Program staff agrees that interest in cover crops is growing rapidly. The benefits of cover crops are far reaching and have the potential to address a number of resource concerns affecting soil and water conservation. It is encouraging to see interest from the Chariton SWCD Board of Supervisors taking the lead on such a practice with partners from federal and state agencies.

The program will present your request to the commission to consider the possibility of the program assisting your district in developing a cover crop pilot project. Furthermore, there will be an opportunity for the Chariton SWCD Board of Supervisors to address the commission at the November 28, 2011 Soil and Water Districts Commission Meeting. The commission meeting will take place in conjunction with the Soil and Water Training Conference, and will be held from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. at Tan-Tar-A Resort, Osage Beach, Missouri.

If you have any questions, please contact me at Soil and Water Conservation Program, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson city MO 65102-0176 or by phone at 573-526-3159. Thank you.

Sincerely,

SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Jeremia Markway

District Operations Section

JM:clm



Chariton County SWCD

104 South John F Kennedy Ave Keytesville, MO 65261

Missouri Department of Natural Resources Soil and Water Program Office Commission P.O. Box 176 Jefferson City, MO 65102 October 13, 2011

Dear Commissioners,

The Chariton County SWCD board would like to request, the Commissioners to give DNR authority to work with the Chariton County SWCD and NRCS to develop a cover crop pilot project for Chariton County. There has been an increased interest in cover crops with the producers in our county and we feel this is a resource area that we could help get established.

In February we held a Soil Health workshop that focused on no-till and cover crops. We had a great turn out for our workshop and received many positive responses from local producers. In August, due to the positive responses from our Soil Health workshop we sent a group of 12 local producers and staff to a Cover Crop workshop in Ohio, where they spent a day learning key concepts and the benefits of cover crops systems. With the increasing interest in cover crops systems and the training of our district and NRCS staff, we the board feel this is a huge resource we could utilized with assistance from DNR. The board would like the commission to consider giving DNR the authority to assist us in developing a cover crop pilot project.

Sincerely,

Kenny Reichert, Chairman

Chariton County SWCD Board

Cover Crop System

Purpose

To support one or more of the following

- 1. Reduce wind and water erosion
- 2. Improve water quality by reducing pollution from sediment and chemically contaminated runoff from agricultural nonpoint sources.
- 3. Reduce amount of irrigation water needed for crop production
- 4. Improve infiltration rates of soil
- 5. Improve soil structure and organic matter
- 6. Reduce nutrient loading of groundwater
- 7. Reduce particulate emissions into the atmosphere
- 8. Capture and recycle nutrients in the soil profile
- 9. Demonstrate the environmental and economic advantages of using a combination of conservation practices as part of a whole system.
- 10. Provide landowners an incentive to encourage the adoption of new management techniques for reducing soil erosion, improving water and soil quality, and increasing profitability.

Applicability

Applies to croplands where grain crops are grown and a cover crop management system is not currently being utilized.

Erosion Requirements

Practice has no erosion requirements.

Specifications

The completed components of the practice must meet the NRCS Standards and Specifications for Nutrient Management (590), Conservation Crop Rotation (328), Residue and Tillage Management No Till (329), and Cover Crop (340) contained in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Policies

- 1. There are two levels of participation available under the Cover Crop System Practice.
 - a. Operators participating in Level 1 must:
 - i. Implement no-till practices on the contract acres
 - ii. Implement nutrient management (a minimum of basic 20-acre composite sampling) on the contract acres
 - iii. Establish cover crops for three consecutive years on the contract acres. (See example rotations)
 - iv. Implement at minimum a two-crop type (warm season grass, warm season broadleaf, cool season grass, cool season broadleaf) rotation such as cornsoybean or sorghum-soybean

- b. Operators participating in Level 2 must:
 - i. Implement no-till practices on the contract acres
 - ii. Implement nutrient management (a minimum of basic 20-acre composite sampling) on the contract acres
 - iii. Establish cover crops for three years on the contract acres. In Level 2, three years of cover crops must be established within four years. (See example rotations)
 - iv. Implement a conservation crop rotation that includes 3 distinct full season crops and includes 3 crop types (ex. corn-soybean-wheat rotation)
- 2. Cooperators must adopt a 100% no-till cropping system on the contract acres for three consecutive years for Level 1 participation or four consecutive years for Level 2 participation.
- 3. Cooperators must have at minimum, a basic (20 acre composite sampling) nutrient management plan that accounts for all sources of nutrients, including but not limited to: commercial fertilizer, animal waste and legume-nitrogen credits.
 - a. Nutrient management plan must be followed for three years for Level 1 participation or four years for Level 2 participation.
 - b. Split application of nitrogen in corn and wheat and side-dressing nitrogen in corn is highly recommended.
- 4. Cooperators must adopt cover crops in compliance with the Cover Crop (340) standard as part of this practice.
 - a. Cover crops will be left undisturbed until just prior to the planting of the primary crop; however, cover crops may be grazed properly (according to Prescribed Grazing 528) if the designated purpose is not hampered.
 - b. Cover crops may be terminated by frost or immediately prior to seeding the subsequent primary crop by chemical application, mowing &/or properly timed roller/crimper operations. Tillage as a means of killing the cover crop is not an option. Fall seeded cover crops should be terminated no later than May 15.
 - c. In Level 1, cover crops may be a single species following corn or soybeans, but cannot be the same species two consecutive years. (ex. In a corn-soybean rotation, you cannot plant rye after corn in year one **and** rye after soybeans in year two)
 - d. In Level 2, cover crops must be a minimum of two species following wheat, one of which must be a legume and must be at least 50% of the mixture. (ex. Hairy vetch & tillage radishes)
 - e. In both levels, cover crop(s) following corn will be a grass or non-legume. In Level 1, cover crop(s) following soybeans will be at least 50% legume.
 - f. Cover crops will be implemented a minimum of three consecutive years for Level 1 participation. Participants in Level 2 will be allowed a fourth year to establish cover crops due to wheat following soybeans in the second year. (See example rotations)

- g. Cover crop seeding dates must be appropriate as stated in the Cover Crop (340) standard.
- h. Aerial seeding of cover crops into soybeans at or before leaf drop is suggested following soybeans in a corn-soybean rotation in order to provide sufficient time for cover crop establishment.
- 5. Operators applying for cover crop system practice funds will be ranked for eligibility. Operators that rank higher will have a better chance of receiving cost-share funding. (See attached ranking)

6. Cost-share is authorized for:

a. Incentive payments for cooperators who implement all required components of the Cover Crop System practice. Two levels of participation are available.

Maximum State Cost-Share

- 1. Cooperators participating in Level 1 of the Cover Crop System practice will be eligible for an annual incentive payment of \$75/acre/year for three years of cooperation. (Example: A producer enrolling 10 acres in Level 1 of the Cover Crop System practice will receive a total incentive payment of \$2,250.)
- 2. Cooperators participating in Level 2 of the Cover Crop System practice will be eligible for an annual incentive payment of \$75/acre/year for four years of cooperation. (Example: A producer enrolling 10 acres in Level 2 of the Cover Crop System practice will receive a total incentive payment of \$3,000.)
- 3. Cost-share assistance for this practice shall not be approved for more than 40 acres per operator. Operators cannot sign up multiple landowners to elude the commission's limit. Acres enrolled in a cover crop practice through a federal or other assistance program shall not be eligible for Cover Crop System practice cost-share assistance.

Map Requirements

A map that displays the completed practice must be scanned and attached as "Map Document" in MoSWIMS prior to contract payment submission.

Technical Responsibilities

Technical staff has the responsibility for determining the need for the practice, for design of the practice based upon the minimum extent necessary, and to certify that the completed practice meets NRCS standards and specifications within commission policy.

Acres Served

Acreage no-tilled and planted in cover crops

Extent Installed

Acres

Maintenance Life
3 years for Level 1 or 4 years for Level 2

Cover Crop System Practice Ranking

1.	Are you 100% no-till on the offered contrac	t acres? Y	es	10	No	0
	Do you have a current crop rotation that includes ybean-wheat)					
		Y	es	<u>10</u>	No	<u>0</u>
3.	Do you have a current nutrient management	(590) plan? Y	es	10	No	0
4.	What level of nutrient management are you	using or willin	ig to a	adopt?		
	Check one: Variable Rate Te	chnology		10_		
	Basic (20 acre co	mposite sampl	ling)	5	-	
	None			0	_	
	Have you attended any field days or workshops?	ops regarding	soil h	ealth an	id/or c	over
		Y	es	<u>10</u>	No	_0
6.	Do you have any experience implementing of	cover crops wi	thin t	he last f	īve ye:	ars?
		Y	es	<u>10</u>	No	0
7.	Will you adopt aerial seeding of cover crops	? Y	es	<u>10</u>	No	0

Level 1 Cover Crop System Example Rotation's

Y	ear 1			Year 2	Year 3			
Warm	Cool	Warm				Warm	Cool	
Season	Season	Season				Season	Season	
Grass	Grass	Broadleaf				Grass	Grass	
Grain	Cover	Grain/Fiber				Grain	Cover -	
Crop	> Crop >	Crop	>	Cool Season Broadleaves	>	Crop	> Crop	

Υ	Year 1				Year 2		Ye	ar 3	
Corn	>	Rye	>	Soybeans	>_	Hairy Vetch & Tillage Radishes	>	Corn	>Rye

	Year 1				Year 2				ear	3
	Annual									Annual
Corn	>	Ryegrass	>	Soybeans	>	Winter Peas & Tillage Radishes	>	Corn	>	Ryegrass

Level 2 Cover Crop System Example Rotation

	Year 1	Y	ear 2	_		Year 3	Year 4			
Warm	Cool	Warm	С	ool				Warm	Cool 🚉 🖟	
Season	Season	Season	S	eason				Season	Season	
Grass	Grass	Broadleaf	G	rass				Grass	Grass	
Grain	Cover	Grain/Fiber	G	rain				Grain	Cover	
Crop	> Crop >	Crop	> C	rop	>	Cool Season Broadleaves	>	Crop	> Crop	

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4		
Corn	Annual *** > Ryegrass >	Soybeans > Wheat	> Hairy Vetch & Tillage Radishes	Annual		

	Year 1	Year 2				Year 3		Year 4		
Corn	> Rye >	Soybeans	>	Wheat	>	Winter Peas & Tillage Radishes	>	Corn	> Rye X	

^{*} Shaded boxes indicate cover crop species

Examples of Crop Types

Warm Season Grasses

Corn
Grain Sorghum
Millet
Sorghum-Sudangrass
Rice

Cool Season Grasses

Wheat
Barley
Cereal Rye
Annual Ryegrass
Triticale
Oats

Warm Season Broadleaves

Soybeans Cowpeas Buckwheat Cotton Sunnhemp Alfalfa

Cool Season Broadleaves

Red Clover
Crimson Clover
Tillage Radishes
Turnips
Canola
Mustard
Kale
Winter Peas
Hairy Vetch

Sweet Clover

Missouri Revised Statutes

Chapter 278 Soil Conservation Section 278.120

August 28, 2011

District shall be body corporate--duties.

- 2. A soil and water district through the board of soil and water district supervisors thereof shall have the following authority and duty in addition to other authority and duty granted in other sections of this law:
- (1) To promote all reasonable measures for the saving of the soil and water within that soil and water district; and all such measures shall be in general agreement with those currently advocated by the college of agriculture of the University of Missouri for saving the productive power of Missouri farm land;
- (2) To cooperate or enter into agreements with, and to aid within the limits of appropriations duly made available to it by law, any agency, government or otherwise, or any land representative within that soil and water district, in the saving of the soil and water within that district; and all such cooperations or agreements shall be in accord with the policies of the state soil and water districts commission; and any land representative of farm land within that soil and water district shall be eligible to enter into such cooperations or agreements with the soil and water supervisors; and no program or procedure of soil and water conservation shall be ordered or executed by the soil and water supervisors on any farm without the full consent and agreement of the land representative of that farm;
- (3) To make available to any land representative within that soil and water district, through existing agencies if agreements with them seem feasible, or by such other feasible means as the supervisors shall prescribe, such services, materials, and equipment as will assist such land representatives to carry on operations for the saving of the soil and water;
- (4) To accept grants, gifts, and contributions in money, services, or materials from the United States or any of its agencies, and to use or expend such grants, gifts or contributions in carrying on the soil and water district operations; and such use or expenditure shall be in accord with the policies of the state soil and water districts commission;
- (5) To make and execute contracts and other legal instruments, necessary for the saving of the soil and water in that district, subject to approval by the state soil and water districts commission;

(6) To accept for the purpose of saving soil and water in that district, contributions in money, services or materials from any source not otherwise provided for herein, and to enter into such agreements with land representatives as will tend to prevent future wastage of the soil and water presently benefited by these contributions.

(L. 1943 p. 839 § 6, A.L. 1961 p. 31)

© Copyright



Missouri General Assembly

TEN YEAR LEASE AGREEMENT

This agreement (the "Lease") made this _____ day of ______, 20___, by and between AECI Land, L.L.C., a Missouri Limited Liability Company located at 2814 S. Golden Avenue in the City of Springfield, State of Missouri, Zip Code 65801, its subsidiaries, successors, lessees, licensees, and/or assigns, hereinafter referred to as the "Lessor", and Chariton County Soil and Water Conservation District, located at 104 South John F. Kennedy Avenue, City of Keytesville, State of Missouri, Zip Code 65261, its subsidiaries, successors, lessees, licensees, and/or assigns, hereinafter referred to as the "Lessee" (collectively "Parties").

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS Lessee needs tillable farm land for the purposes of establishing a cover crop research farm to test the benefits of specialty cover crops on Missouri soils (the "Project"); and,

WHEREAS Lessor has adequate available farm land and is willing to provide it to Lessee for Lessee's use in establishing the Project; and,

WHEREAS the Project is beneficial to the Parties and the Parties desire to cooperate with one another;

NOW THEREFORE In consideration of the covenants hereinafter reserved, the Lessor agrees to lease to the Lessee tillable farmland as set forth in Section 1 below.

SECTION 1. LEASED PREMISES. Lessor shall lease and let unto Lessee the following described premises, hereinafter referred to as the "Leased Premises", which consists of approximately one hundred and eleven (111.0) acres of farmland. The Leased Premises, to-wit;

80.0 acres (62.2 Ac. tillable) in the South Half (S ½) of the Southeast Quarter (SE ¼) of Section 5, Township 54, Range 16; and 31.0 acres (20.6 Ac. tillable) in the Northeast Quarter (NE ¼) of the Northeast Quarter (NE ¼) of Section 8, Township 54, Range 16, lying West of Coal Avenue, all in Chariton County (see attached aerial photo).

together with the privilege and right to enter upon said property and make use thereof for the purpose of the Project, among other rights only as may be set forth herein, for the Term of the lease herein granted. Lessee shall have ingress and egress onto Leased Premises from the public road and from gates along the perimeter of Leased Premises.

SECTION 2. RENT. The Lessor hereby agrees that there will be no fee for the use of said property as long as the Project is being performed by the Lessee; however, Lesee shall provide Lessor all information related to the Project and the results thereof at Lessor's request and for Lessor's use and implementation on Lessor's other properties. Any revenue derived from the Project shall be used solely for the purpose of covering operational costs, excluding administrative/managerial fees, of said research and any excess revenue will revert back to the Lessor. Lessor retains all rights to the 2011 wheat crop growing on the Leased Premises at the commencement of this Lease.

SECTION 3. LEASE TERM. The Lessor hereby leases Leased Premises to the Lessee for ten (10) years from the date of the signing of this Lease Agreement. Lessee shall have the option to extend the Term and renew this Lease for a period of five (5) years following the initial Term of the Lease. Lessee shall provide Lessor written notice no later than the ninth (9th) anniversary of this Lease if it intends to exercise said option. At the end of the Term, the Lease shall continue on a year-to-year basis until either party provides one (1) year advance written notice of termination. Should Lessee fail to use Leased Premises for purposes of the Project for more than thirty (30) days, this Lease shall expire and Leased Premises shall be returned to Lessor as though the Term had ended pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

SECTION 4. RESPONSIBILITIES OF LESSEE. Lessee agrees as follows:

- a. To provide all necessary tools, equipment, utensils, power, fuel and labor to properly plant and cultivate the above described property and to operate and maintain the Leased Premises in a husband-like manner.
- b. To provide and be solely responsible for all administrative and managerial decisions and expenses, including all employer obligations for hired labor with respect to safety requirements and social security and workers' compensation contributions, and the Lessor shall have no responsibilities therefore.
- c. To allow the Lessor to enter the Leased Premises at any reasonable time for the purpose of consulting with the Lessee, viewing the property, making repairs and improvements, or for any reasonable purposes that do not interfere with the Lessee's ability to carry on anticipated research and regular farming practices.
- d. To keep the Leased Premises neat and orderly. No trash, waste, used lubricants, or hazardous substances shall be disposed of on said property. Should this responsibility not be met, Lessor will require payment from Lessee for these clean up expenses.
- e. To comply with pollution control and environmental protection requirements as required by local, state, and federal agencies.
- f. To comply with state and federal regulations in the training, licensing, storing, and usage of fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides, and other chemicals and similar substances.

- g. To take possession of the Leased Premises subject to the hazards of operating a farm, and assuming all risk of accidents personally as well as employees, or agents in pursuance of farming operations, or in performing repairs on equipment, and other improvements.
- h. To provide an annual progress report to Lessor of all activities and research performed on the property, including expense reports, income statements and balance sheets, as applicable, with said report due by March 1st of each successive year, to be sent to Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc., Associate General Counsel, P.O. Box 754, Springfield, MO 65801.
- i. To hold Lessor harmless from any known or unknown hazards on the Leased Premises.
- j. To maintain insurance coverage, for the Term of the Lease, with a carrier acceptable to the Lessor, insuring Lessee while performing on Leased Premises liability insurance in the amount of \$1,000,000 per occurrence.

The Lessee further agrees:

- 1. To refrain from any actions that would cause a mechanic's or other lien to be imposed upon the Leased Premises and agrees to indemnify the Lessor if actions are taken by the Lessee that result in a filing for a lien.
- 2. Not to enter into any agreement, contract, or other farming or business arrangement that alters Lessor's rights under this Lease.
- 3. To indemnify, defend and hold harmless Lessor from and against any and all loss, claims, demands, actions, costs, expenses, including attorney's fees, administrative fines or penalties or liability for injuries (including death) to the Leased Premises or any property owned by Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. or AECI Land, LLC as a result of this Project, or persons whether on Leased Premises or any property owned by Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. or AECI Land, LLC or any other property however owned as related to the Project, or anyone including Lessee or Lessor, their agents, employees, and invitees, including Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), the University of Missouri, University Extension, Agriculture Research Service (ARS), Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC), Missouri Department of Agriculture, private agri-business, and other interested parties, arising out of or in connection with the items covered by this Lease and or Lessee's performance hereunder, whether caused by negligence of either party, excepting only injuries caused by the sole negligence of Lessor.

SECTION 5. RIGHT OF ENTRY. Lessor retains the right to enter the Leased Premises at all reasonable times after providing notice.

SECTION 6. REDELIVERY OF PREMISES. The Lessee hereby agrees that at the expiration or termination of this Lease, it shall yield possession of the Leased Premises to the Lessor without

further demand or notice, in as good order and condition as when it was entered upon by the Lessee, as determined in the sole judgment of the Lessor.

SECTION 7. IMPROVEMENTS. Lessee may construct, at its cost and expense, access roads, parking lots, monitoring stations, and other structures as deemed necessary to support the Project. However, permanent structures of any kind shall not be constructed without the prior written consent of the Lessor. Upon expiration or termination of this Lease, all improvements shall remain on the Leased Premises and the Lessee forfeits all claims to any improvements established on the Leased Premises.

SECTION 8. HUNTING. Lessor hereby retains exclusive hunting rights on the Leased Premises and may access Leased Property for such purposes without first providing notice as set forth in Section 5 herein.

SECTION 9. NO SUBLEASE AND/OR ASSIGNMENT. Lessee agrees not to assign this Lease to any person or organization.

SECTION 10. TAXES. Lessor shall pay all real property taxes on the Leased Premises during the Term of the Lease.

SECTION 11. SUBORDINATION OF LEASE. This Lease and Lessee's leasehold interest hereunder are and shall be subject, subordinate, and inferior to any liens and encumbrances now or hereafter placed on the Leased Premises and all advances made under any such liens or encumbrances, the interest payable on any such liens and encumbrances, and any and all renewals of extensions of such liens or encumbrances.

SECTION 12. LEGAL FEES. Lessor agrees to pay any legal fees associated with preparing this agreement.

SECTION 13. NOTICE. Notices required under the terms of this agreement must be in writing and shall become effective when transmitted electronically, by facsimile or by US Mail to the respective address as set forth below:

Lessor: Lessee:

AECI Land, L.L.C. Chariton County Soil & Water Conservation
Attn: Legal District

2814 S. Golden 104 South John F. Kennedy Avenue

Springfield, MO 65801-0754 Keytesville, MO 65261

SECTION 14. RECORDING OF AGREEMENT. The parties hereto stipulate to record this agreement in the Office of the Recorder of Deeds for Chariton County at Keytesville, Missouri.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have set their hands in duplicate the day and year first above written.

	James J. Jura, General Manager for AECI Land L.L.C., a Missouri Corporation	
	LESSEE:	
	Kerry Reichert, Board President for Chariton County Soil and Water Conservation District	
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
STAT	ATE OF)	
COUì	OUNTY OF)	
appear Conse	On thisday of beared, Kerry Reichert, Board President for Charit nservation District to me known to be the person described in	ton County Soil and W n and who executed the forego
appear	On thisday of beared, Kerry Reichert, Board President for Charit nservation District to me known to be the person described is trument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same as	n and who executed the foregon his free act and deed.
appea Conse instrui	On thisday of beared, Kerry Reichert, Board President for Charit nservation District to me known to be the person described in	n and who executed the foregon his free act and deed.
appear Conse instru	On thisday of	n and who executed the foregon his free act and deed.
appear <u>Conse</u> instrui	On thisday of	n and who executed the foregon his free act and deed. hand and affixed my Notary Public

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF)	
COUNTY OF)	
On thisday of personally appeared, <u>James Jura</u> , <u>General Manas</u> the person described in and who executed the fore he executed the same as his free act and deed. IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I, have here official seal, the day and year first above written.	ger of AECI Land, LLC to me known to be egoing instrument and acknowledged to me that
(SEAL)	Signature, Notary Public
	Print Name
My commission expires:	